

Research Article**WOMEN IN THE INDIAN FREEDOM STRUGGLE: CONTRIBUTIONS, CHALLENGES AND HISTORICAL REPRESENTATIONS****Peddamma Srinivas**

Department of History, Pondicherry University, Puducherry, India.

Corresponding Author: Peddamma Srinivas.**Abstract**

In the absence of recognizing women's contributions, the history of the Indian liberation struggle would be lacking. First and foremost will be the sacrifice made by Indian women. The tales of women's bravery, dedication, and sacrifice abound throughout the history of the independence movement. The fact that hundreds of women fought alongside males is something that many of us are unaware of. They fought with unwavering bravery and a genuine spirit. Indian women broke free from a number of constraints and their customary duties and obligations that were centered around the home. Therefore, women's involvement in the national awakening and freedom movement is absolutely amazing and commendable. However, in a society where men predominate, it is difficult for women to fight as warriors. In addition to being a political campaign against British colonial control, the Indian freedom struggle was a transformative process that altered gender relations, Indian society, and political consciousness. Through revolutionary actions, large-scale demonstrations, civil disobedience, political leadership, social reform, underground networks, and beneficial initiatives, women took part in the fight. Women made a range of contributions to the independence struggle, from Rani Lakshmibai and Begum Hazrat Mahal during the Revolt of 1857 to Sarojini Naidu, Kasturba Gandhi, Kamaladevi Chattopadhyay, Aruna Asaf Ali, Usha Mehta, Matangini Hazra, and Captain Lakshmi Sahgal in the twentieth century. However, caste hierarchies, economic inequality, patriarchal social institutions, colonial repression, and limitations on women's public mobility all influenced their involvement. It contends that traditional nationalist narratives have frequently overlooked women's grassroots engagement and domestic contributions in favor of notable male leaders and formal political events. The study highlights the need for a more inclusive view of Indian nationalism by highlighting feminist historiography, regional histories, and the experiences of underprivileged women.

Keywords: Women freedom fighters; Indian freedom struggle; nationalism; gender and colonialism; women's political participation; feminist historiography; patriarchy; revolutionary movements; historical representation; women's empowerment.

Introduction

Political organizations, social reformers, revolutionaries, peasants, laborers, students, tribal communities, and common people all participated in the protracted and intricate Indian freedom struggle. Women were crucial in opposing British colonial rule and strengthening the social underpinnings of the nationalist movement, even though traditional narratives usually highlight the leadership of well-known male nationalists. The involvement of women evolved during several historical eras. Through armed and local resistance, women like Begum Hazrat Mahal, Rani Lakshmibai of Jhansi, and Kittur Chennamma opposed British encroachment in the nineteenth century. Through the Swadeshi Movement, the Non-Cooperation Movement, the Civil Disobedience Movement, and the Quit India Movement, women's participation increased during the twentieth century. In addition, women supported imprisoned activists, organized political gatherings, created communication networks, supported indigenous products, and took part in revolutionary groups. The nationalist movement created new opportunities for women to enter public life. At the same

time, their political participation remained influenced by social expectations concerning marriage, motherhood, domestic responsibility, caste, religion, and respectability. Women's involvement therefore represented both resistance to colonialism and a negotiation with existing patriarchal structures. Suruchi Thapar-Björkert's research emphasizes that women's political activity cannot be understood solely through their entry into public demonstrations or formal political institutions. Domestic spaces, family networks, and everyday activities also became important sites of nationalist participation.

Historical Background of Women's Participation

Early Resistance to Colonial Rule

The emergence of mass nationalism under the Indian National Congress was preceded by women's participation in opposition to colonial rule. Kittur Chennamma opposed the East India Company's meddling in her kingdom's affairs at the beginning of the nineteenth century. Her fight showed that women could lead the military and use political power in opposition to colonial expansion.

Another important stage of women's resistance was the Revolt of 1857. Begum Hazrat Mahal was a key figure in the rebellion in Awadh, while Rani Lakshmibai of Jhansi became a lasting emblem of armed resistance. These women used political activism and military action to oppose the colonial rule. However, certain political and geographical conditions led to their involvement. It is incorrect to assume that their struggles are the same as those of the organized, later Indian nationalist movement. Instead, they were a part of the larger history of opposition to British rule that helped anti-colonial awareness grow.

Social Reform and Women's Education

Modern women's public involvement emerged during the nineteenth century as a result of discussions regarding women's education, child marriage, widow remarriage, and socioeconomic inequity. Some of the social norms that limited women's opportunities were contested by reformers and educators. Women like Savitribai Phule made significant contributions to the advancement of education, especially for females and marginalized groups. Pandita Ramabai promoted women's education and independence while challenging patriarchal societal structures. Although their efforts were not necessarily directly related to organized nationalist politics, they did contribute to the development of the intellectual and social frameworks that allowed women to take a more active role in public life. Nationalism and social change had a complicated relationship. While some nationalist leaders maintained to uphold traditional gender norms, others advocated for women's education and public involvement. As a result, women's advancement emerged as a significant social issue in the larger fight for national liberation.

The Swadeshi Movement and Early Political Mobilization

Following Bengal's partition in 1905, the Swadeshi Movement gained momentum and opened up new avenues for women to participate in politics. Women attended meetings, supported domestic products, took part in boycotts of foreign goods, and promoted nationalist behaviors at home. Because choices about apparel, consumption, education, and family production could become manifestations of opposition to colonial economic policies, the home sphere gained political significance. Even though they did not hold official political posts, women who supported nationalist education, refused imported clothing, or spun khadi contributed to the revolution. Women's involvement was not restricted to public remarks or conflicts with colonial authorities, as this era showed. It also entailed turning routine behaviors into political activity.

Major Contributions of Women to the Indian Freedom Struggle

Political Leadership and Nationalist Mobilization

In political organizations, public gatherings, nationalist campaigns, and discussions, women were becoming more and more visible. Their participation contributed to the freedom movement's expansion outside the entrenched political elites.

Sarojini Naidu was an influential nationalist leader, poet, and public speaker. She became the first Indian woman president of the Indian National Congress in 1925. She participated in civil disobedience campaigns and supported women's political rights. Her leadership demonstrated that women could occupy prominent positions within national political institutions.

Annie Besant, although born in Britain, contributed significantly to Indian political life through the Home Rule Movement. She advocated self-government and helped strengthen nationalist political mobilization.

Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit participated in the nationalist movement and later represented independent India internationally. Her career illustrates the connection between the struggle for freedom and women's subsequent participation in public administration and diplomacy.

Women also organized local meetings, distributed nationalist literature, mobilized volunteers, and supported political campaigns. Their contribution was therefore not restricted to a few prominent leaders; it extended to the organizational structures that sustained the movement.

Participation in Gandhian Movements

Women's involvement in the Indian liberation struggle was greatly aided by Mahatma Gandhi's emphasis on civil disobedience, swadeshi, nonviolent resistance, and constructive work. He believed that women's moral fortitude, bravery, and dedication to nonviolence might aid in the fight against British colonial control and urged them to participate in nationalist initiatives. But his attitude toward women's involvement also mirrored modern expectations about civic responsibility, parenthood, and morality. Three significant Gandhian movements involved active participation from women. They backed nationalist groups, attended public gatherings, boycotted foreign commodities, and advocated khadi during the Non-Cooperation Movement (1920–1922). Their involvement contributed to the movement's expansion into local communities and homes.

The Civil Disobedience Movement (1930–1934) marked an important turning point in women's political mobilization. Women participated in the Salt Satyagraha, picketed shops selling foreign cloth and liquor, violated colonial laws, and faced arrest. Sarojini Naidu played a prominent role in the salt protests, while Kamaladevi Chattopadhyay encouraged women's participation in civil disobedience and advocated political and economic independence. During the Quit India Movement of 1942, women organized demonstrations, distributed nationalist literature, maintained underground communication networks, and continued resistance following the arrest of major Congress leaders. Aruna Asaf Ali and Usha Mehta became notable figures during this phase.

Gandhian movements made it possible for women from a variety of social backgrounds to enter public life and challenged the notion that politics was solely a male sphere. However, involvement did not provide equal leadership opportunities or remove patriarchal constraints. Rather than focusing on political power, women's achievements were often praised in terms of sacrifice and service. Gandhian mobilization, then, was a significant extension of women's political agency as well as an ongoing fight for gender equality in Indian society.

Underground Activities and the Quit India Movement

Massive demonstrations and dispersed opposition were the results of the August 1942 Quit India Movement. Underground networks became especially crucial after key members of Congress were arrested. After raising the Indian national flag at Bombay's Gowalia Tank Maidan during the start of the Quit India Movement, Aruna Asaf Ali rose to prominence as a symbol of resistance. After that, she continued to participate in covert nationalist operations.

During the movement, Usha Mehta assisted in starting the covert Congress Radio, which disseminated information and messages supporting nationalism. Her actions showed how crucial information networks, political education, and communication are to maintaining resistance in the face of colonial suppression. Sucheta Kripalani joined the Congress movement after taking part in the liberation struggle. After independence, she resumed her political career by becoming Uttar Pradesh's first female chief minister.

Challenges Faced by Women in the Freedom Struggle

Patriarchal Social Structures

One of the most enduring obstacles to women's political engagement was patriarchy. Women were expected to put marriage, maternity, household management, and family honor ahead of public engagement in many communities. Women's access to political groups was restricted by customs including purdah, movement limitations, unequal educational chances, and early marriage. Women who traveled without male family members or participated in protests risked social rejection and

ridicule. As a result, agreements with family members and local authorities were frequently necessary for participation. While some women publicly questioned constrictive gender norms, others embraced the socially acceptable identities as mothers, wives, and daughters to defend their political participation. Although women's public responsibilities were increased by the nationalist movement, the patriarchal systems that influenced their lives persisted.

Colonial Repression and Imprisonment

Women activists faced arrest, imprisonment, surveillance, police violence, and restrictions on political communication. Colonial authorities treated women participating in civil disobedience and revolutionary activities as potential threats to imperial authority. Women who joined demonstrations could be subjected to physical intimidation and detention. Revolutionary activists risked severe punishment, while underground workers faced the constant possibility of discovery. Imprisonment also created difficulties for women with family responsibilities. Many had to arrange care for children and dependants, often with limited financial or social support. The costs of political participation therefore extended beyond the individual activist to her household.

Caste, Class, and Religious Inequalities

The experiences of women varied. Their opportunities and available forms of resistance were influenced by caste, class, religion, education, and geography. Newspapers, political groups, and nationalist leadership were frequently more accessible to educated women from metropolitan, somewhat affluent households. Due to social isolation, economic instability, and restricted educational opportunities, poor women, Dalit women, agricultural laborers, and tribal women had additional disadvantages. Women from various backgrounds were occasionally brought together by nationalist organizations, but social hierarchies were not always overcome by these coalitions. When it comes to land, earnings, education, and social transformation, elite women's objectives may diverge from those of laboring and oppressed-caste women. Therefore, a gender-sensitive historical study must acknowledge that Indian womanhood during the freedom fight was not a singular, universal experience.

Economic Hardship and Domestic Responsibilities

Many women participated in nationalist activities while continuing to perform unpaid domestic and care work. Their political involvement often depended on their ability to combine meetings, demonstrations, or constructive programmes with household responsibilities. Women from economically disadvantaged families could not always afford to abandon paid work or agricultural labour to participate in sustained political activity. Arrests and family disruption could intensify financial insecurity. Domestic work itself could support the movement through spinning khadi, sheltering activists, preparing materials, and maintaining communication networks. However, because these activities often occurred within households, their political significance was not always acknowledged.

Gender Inequality within Nationalist Politics

In many political organizations, male leadership persisted despite nationalist leaders' encouragement of women's involvement. Sometimes, women were welcomed as symbols of national honor, moral fortitude, and sacrifice, but they were not given the same power to make decisions. Women's autonomy may be restricted while political participation is facilitated by the concept of women as selfless mothers or protectors of cultural values. Instead of being portrayed as representative political players, women who more actively questioned established norms would be seen as unique. The main paradox was that although women were urged to make sacrifices for the country's freedom, the movement did not continuously prioritize women's equality.

Historical Representations of Women in the Freedom Struggle

There have been major shifts in how women have historically been portrayed in the Indian independence movement. Nationalist historiography, which highlights notable figures like Rani Lakshmi Bai, Sarojini Naidu, and Kasturba Gandhi, typically depicts independence as a collaborative effort against British colonial control. These narratives acknowledge the bravery and patriotism of women, but they frequently ignore the efforts of common women, rural activists, revolutionary networks, and grassroots groups because they concentrate on a small number of well-known

individuals. As a result, women's involvement in underground operations, family mobilization, political communication, and local protests has received relatively less attention.

Women have frequently been represented as symbols of motherhood, sacrifice, patriotism, and national honour. The image of the self-sacrificing mother helped legitimize women's entry into public political life by connecting nationalism with traditional ideals of femininity. However, such representations sometimes overshadowed women's individual political aspirations, organizational abilities, ideological differences, and demands for gender equality. Their contributions were often celebrated as acts of service rather than recognized as expressions of independent political agency.

Feminist historiography has challenged these limitations by examining women's autobiographies, letters, oral histories, regional literature, and personal narratives. Scholars such as Uma Chakravarti have emphasized the importance of understanding gender alongside caste and social power. Regional studies have also brought attention to lesser-known figures, including Pritilata Waddedar, Kalpana Datta, Matangini Hazra, and Rani Gaidinliu.

Impact of Women's Participation on Indian Society

By challenging patriarchal customs and increasing women's positions in public life, women's involvement in the Indian liberation struggle profoundly changed Indian culture. Women gained leadership, communication, and organizational abilities through protests, political groups, revolutionary activities, and nationalist initiatives. Their participation laid the groundwork for further involvement in public administration and politics following independence.

Additionally, women's organizations promoted political equality, voting rights, education, and legal reforms. The Indian National Congress passed the Karachi Resolution of 1931, which placed a strong emphasis on equality before the law and fundamental rights. Following independence, women were granted equal voting rights under the Indian Constitution, which established universal adult suffrage. The joint efforts of social reform groups, women's organizations, and nationalist leaders were reflected in these events.

Women's participation also broadened the social base of nationalism by connecting political resistance with household activities, local communities, and economic practices. However, independence did not eliminate gender discrimination, caste inequalities, or unequal domestic responsibilities. Women continued to face barriers in education, employment, and political leadership. Thus, their participation advanced democratic ideals and women's empowerment, while highlighting the continuing struggle to achieve substantive gender equality in Indian society.

Conclusion

Women contributed significantly and in a variety of ways to the Indian freedom movement. Their involvement ranged from the organized nationalist campaigns of the twentieth century to the early armed resistance and social reform in the nineteenth century. A variety of political bravery, leadership, organization, and resistance were displayed by women like Rani Lakshmibai, Begum Hazrat Mahal, Sarojini Naidu, Kasturba Gandhi, Kamaladevi Chattopadhyay, Aruna Asaf Ali, Usha Mehta, Matangini Hazra, Pritilata Waddedar, Kalpana Datta, and Captain Lakshmi Sahgal. However, interlocking types of oppression affected their experiences. Women's participation was limited by caste inequality, economic hardship, patriarchal customs, colonial repression, and unequal access to political power. Women's efforts were not always matched by equal recognition or decision-making authority, even when they joined nationalist organizations. The historical representation of women has also been uneven. Nationalist narratives have celebrated several prominent figures but have often overlooked ordinary women, regional activists, domestic networks, and the experiences of marginalized communities. Feminist historiography challenges these limitations by broadening the definition of political participation and recovering women's voices from a wider range of sources. Ultimately, the Indian freedom struggle should be understood as both an anti-colonial movement and a significant, though incomplete, challenge to existing gender relations. Recognizing women's contributions is not merely an act of historical correction; it is essential to understanding the social depth, democratic aspirations, and contradictions of Indian nationalism. A more inclusive history must acknowledge women not only as symbols of sacrifice but also as political thinkers, organizers, revolutionaries, reformers, and agents of historical change.

References:

1. Basu, A. (2008). Women's struggle for the vote: 1917–1937. *Indian Historical Review*, 35(1), 128–143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/037698360803500106>.
2. Chakravarti, U. (2013). *Rewriting history: The life and times of Pandita Ramabai*. Zubaan Books.
3. Forbes, G. (1996). *Women in modern India*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Kumar, R. (1993). *The history of doing: An illustrated account of movements for women's rights and feminism in India, 1800–1990*. Verso.
5. Sarkar, S. (1983). *Modern India, 1885–1947*. Macmillan.
6. Sethi, R. (1996). Contesting identities: Involvement and resistance of women in the Indian national movement. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 5(3), 305–315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.1996.9960652>.
7. Thapar-Björkert, S. (1997). *Reconstructing the history of women's participation in the nationalist movement in India, 1905–1945* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Warwick]. Warwick Research Archive Portal. <https://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/id/eprint/36330/>
8. Thapar-Björkert, S. (1997). The domestic sphere as a political site: A study of women in the Indian nationalist movement. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 20(4), 493–504. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395\(97\)00038-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-5395(97)00038-1).
9. Sethi, R. (1996). See also the discussion of nationalism, literary representation, and women's political agency in the article listed above.
10. Sarkar, S. (1989). *Modern India, 1885–1947*. Cambridge Commonwealth Series. Palgrave Macmillan.

Citation: Peddamma Srinivas. 2026. "WOMEN IN THE INDIAN FREEDOM STRUGGLE: CONTRIBUTIONS, CHALLENGES AND HISTORICAL REPRESENTATIONS". *International Journal of Academic Research*, 13(3): 318-323.

Copyright: ©2026 Peddamma Srinivas. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.