



DIGITAL INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION: A SOCIOLOGICAL STUDY OF INTERNET PENETRATION IN RURAL TAMIL NADU

***Dr. N. Perumal**

Assistant Professor,
Department of Sociology Centre for Distance and Education,
Madurai Kamaraj University,
Madurai

Abstract: The digital revolution promises unprecedented socio-economic opportunities. In India, this is encapsulated in the “*Digital India*” mission, aiming to transform the nation into a digitally empowered society. However, the benefits of this revolution are not distributed uniformly, creating a stark digital divide, particularly between urban and rural areas. Tamil Nadu, a state with a robust IT sector and high levels of urbanization, presents a critical case study for examining this paradox. This theoretical paper aims to sociologically analyze the state of internet penetration in rural Tamil Nadu. It moves beyond mere connectivity metrics to explore the multifaceted barriers—access, skills, and empowerment—that perpetuate digital exclusion. Employing a descriptive-analytical approach, this paper synthesizes and analyzes secondary data from government reports (e.g., NSSO, IT for Masses, TRAI), policy documents, and existing scholarly literature. It applies a conceptual framework rooted in the “access, skills, and empowerment” model to interpret the data. The analysis reveals that while mobile data affordability has driven a quantitative leap in internet access in rural Tamil Nadu, significant qualitative exclusions persist. These are shaped by structural inequalities including gender disparities, educational gaps, linguistic digital content scarcity, and a lack of digital literacy. The transition from mere access to meaningful use for socio-economic advancement remains incomplete. Digital inclusion in rural Tamil Nadu is not an automatic byproduct of infrastructure development. It requires targeted policy interventions that address the sociological dimensions of the divide, focusing on skill development, contextualized content creation, and empowering marginalized communities to use the internet as a tool for social and economic mobility.

Keywords: Sustainable development, renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, urban sustainability, water conservation, green jobs, environmental protection, policy initiatives.

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has been defined by the pervasive influence of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs), with the internet emerging as a fundamental infrastructure for modern life. It is hailed as a great equalizer, capable of bridging geographical and social chasms by providing access to information, education, healthcare, financial services, and political participation.

The Government of India’s flagship ***Digital India programme***, launched in 2015, is predicated on this vision, with its three core components: digital infrastructure as a utility to every citizen, governance and services on demand, and digital empowerment of citizens.

Tamil Nadu, one of India’s most economically developed states, presents a compelling context for studying this digital transformation. It is home to a vibrant IT



corridor in Chennai and boasts high human development indicators. However, a significant portion of its population resides in rural areas, where agrarian economies and traditional social structures dominate. This creates a complex scenario where high-tech urban centers coexist with rural hinterlands that are navigating the digital transition at a different pace and under different constraints.

While telecom data might show rising internet subscription numbers in rural Tamil Nadu, these figures often mask a more complex reality. Simply having access to a mobile data connection does not equate to *digital inclusion*. This paper argues, from a sociological perspective, that digital inclusion is a multidimensional concept encompassing not just physical access to technology, but also the skills to use it effectively and the opportunity to translate that use into tangible empowerment.

This paper aims to critically examine the phenomenon of internet penetration in rural Tamil Nadu by analyzing available secondary data through a sociological lens. The focus is on following research questions:

- 1) What is the current state of internet access and usage in rural Tamil Nadu according to secondary data sources?
- 2) What are the primary sociological factors—such as gender, caste, class, and education—that contribute to digital exclusion in this region?
- 3) How do existing government policies address, or fail to address, these barriers to meaningful digital inclusion?

Interacting with these inquiries, this theoretical study aims to contribute to a deeper comprehension of the digital divide in a specific Indian context, moving beyond techno-centric narratives to highlight the deeply embedded social inequalities that shape technological adoption and use.

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

1) Conceptualizing the Digital Divide:

The concept of the “*digital divide*” has evolved significantly since the 1990s. Initially, it was understood in binary terms as the disparity between individuals who have access to computers and the internet and those who lack. This first-level divide focused primarily on infrastructure and connectivity. Nevertheless, academics soon understood that this was an oversimplification.

The discourse shifted to a more nuanced understanding, recognizing a **second-level digital divide** concerning the skills, knowledge, and efficacy required to use ICTs effectively. This includes digital literacy, the ability to search for and critically evaluate information, and technical proficiency. More recently, a **third-level divide** has been identified, focusing on the tangible outcomes of internet use—the “*why*” and “*so what*” questions. This level examines whether individuals can leverage their access and skills to improve their life chances, such as finding better employment, accessing superior healthcare, or engaging in civic processes.

2) Theoretical Framework: Access, Skills, and Empowerment

For this paper, we synthesize these evolving understandings into a three-pillar conceptual framework:

- A) **Access:** This foundational pillar refers to the availability and affordability of necessary hardware (smartphones, computers), software, and network connectivity. In the Indian context, this is predominantly about mobile data and smartphones.
- B) **Skills:** This pillar moves beyond technical ability to include broader digital literacy. It encompasses the competence to navigate interfaces, critically evaluate online information, communicate effectively, and protect one's privacy and security online.



C) **Empowerment:** This is the ultimate goal of digital inclusion. It refers to the capacity of individuals and communities to use digital tools to enhance their social, economic, and political capabilities. This includes accessing government entitlements, participating in e-commerce, leveraging online education, and engaging in democratic processes.

3) The Indian and Tamil Nadu Context

Existing literature on the digital divide in India consistently highlights the urban-rural gap. Studies point to factors such as poor broadband infrastructure in villages, the high cost of devices, and low digital literacy as key barriers. From a sociological perspective, these issues are compounded by pre-existing social stratifications. Research indicates that gender is a critical factor, with patriarchal norms often restricting women's access to and use of mobile phones and the internet. Caste and class also play a significant role, as economic disadvantage and social marginalization create a cycle of exclusion where the most at-risk communities abandoned furthest behind in the digital realm.

While national-level studies are abundant, there is a relative scarcity of focused sociological analyses on Tamil Nadu. Some reports highlight the state's above-average telecom infrastructure but also point to persistent gaps in digital literacy and the relevance of online content for non-Tamil and non-English speakers. This paper seeks to fill this gap by applying the "access, skills, empowerment" framework specifically to the rural Tamil Nadu context, using the latest available secondary data.

Methodology

This research is a theoretical and descriptive study that relies exclusively on the analysis of secondary data. No primary data was collected. The methodological approach involves:

1) **Data Source Identification:** A comprehensive review of publicly

available datasets and reports from government and quasi-government bodies was conducted. Key sources include:

- **National Sample Survey Office (NSSO):** Reports on Education, Health, and ICT (e.g., NSS 75th Round, 2017-18).
- **Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI):** Annual Reports, Indian Telecom Services Performance Indicators.
- **Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY):** Digital India reports and policy documents.
- IT for Masses (ITM) Project Reports (by the Government of Tamil Nadu).
- Census of India, 2011 (for demographic baseline data).
- Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and NITI Aayog reports on financial inclusion and development indices.

2) **Data Analysis:** The information from these sources was synthesized and analyzed thematically, guided by the three-pillar theoretical framework (Access, Skills, Empowerment). The analysis is qualitative and interpretive, aiming to identify patterns, correlations, and disparities that explain the state of digital inclusion in rural Tamil Nadu.

3) **Limitations:** The main constraint of this research is its dependence on secondary data, which might not reflect the latest advancements or the nuanced, lived experiences of individuals. The availability of granular, district-level data for all variables is also a constraint. However, this approach enables a macro-level analysis that identifies broad trends and structural issues critical for policy formulation.

Analysis and Discussion

A) **The State of Access: Connectivity vs. Meaningful Connectivity**

According to the TRAI (2022), Tamil Nadu has one of the highest tele-density



rates in India, including in its rural areas. The proliferation of affordable 4G data plans has undoubtedly brought millions of rural users online for the first time. The NSSO 75th Round (2017-18) indicated that a significant percentage of rural households in the state reported the ability to access the internet and holds 2nd higher usage of kerala in South india.

However, a deeper look reveals the limitations of this “access”. The concept of *meaningful connectivity* is crucial here. While a user may have a data pack, the quality of connection can be poor, limiting the use of data-intensive applications like video conferencing for education or telemedicine. Furthermore, access is often not individual but shared within a family, typically controlled by the male head of the household. This shared access immediately creates a barrier to private and empowering use, especially for women and youth.

B) The Skills Chasm: Digital Literacy as the Great Differentiator

Data from the NSSO and other sources consistently show a stark gap between basic access and the possession of digital skills. A large proportion of rural internet users in Tamil Nadu primarily use the internet for communication (WhatsApp) and entertainment (YouTube, social media). The use of the internet for more advanced activities—such as online banking, accessing government services (e.g., via the e-Sevai portal), searching for information on crops or market prices, or undertaking online courses—remains relatively low.

This points to a critical deficit in *digital literacy*. Government programs such as the “*Digital Literacy Mission (Pradhan Mantri Gramin Digital Saksharta Abhiyan - PMGDISHA)*” have made efforts to bridge this gap. However, reports suggest challenges in the quality

of training, the relevance of the curriculum to rural livelihoods, and low certification-to-empowerment conversion rates. The skill to distinguish misinformation, protect financial data, and navigate complex government websites is not widespread, creating a second-level divide even amid those who are nominally “*connected.*”

C) The Empowerment Deficit: Sociological Barriers to Tangible Benefits

This is where the most profound exclusions occur, deeply intertwined with Tamil Nadu's social fabric.

- **The Gender Digital Divide:** Patriarchal norms significantly restrict women's digital lives. Fear of harassment, concerns over morality, and the notion that technology is a male domain often lead to restrictions on women's phone ownership and internet use. Even when they possess access, their usage is often monitored and constrained, preventing them from using the internet for entrepreneurial activities, accessing sexual and reproductive health information, or participating in online public spheres.
- **The Caste and Class Nexus:** Economic barriers prevent the poorest households, which often include Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe communities, from owning a smartphone—the primary gateway to the internet. Their precarious economic situation also means that data costs, though low, represent a significant opportunity cost. Furthermore, a lack of formal education and social capital makes it harder for them to perceive the internet as a tool for upward mobility, reinforcing existing cycles of poverty and exclusion.



- **The Linguistic and Content Barrier:** The dominance of English and Hindi in the digital world creates a significant hurdle. While Tamil is a rich and classical language, the availability of high-quality, contextual, and useful digital content in Tamil is limited. Information on agriculture, legal rights, and government schemes is often not available in an easily understandable format in Tamil, rendering the internet less relevant for the daily lives of rural populations.

D) Policy-Practice Gaps: An Assessment of Government Interventions

The Government of Tamil Nadu has implemented schemes like the *BharatNet* to establish internet kiosks in villages. While these have improved access points, their sustainability and impact are often hampered by issues like unreliable power supply, lack of technical support, and a top-down method that doesn't consistently address local needs. The *Digital India* vision, while ambitious, often focuses on service delivery (pushing services online) without concurrently ensuring that the intended beneficiaries have the skills and agency to pull these services effectively. The gap between the availability of a service (e.g., online application for a caste certificate) and its successful utilization by a marginalized individual in a remote village remains wide.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This theoretical examination validates that the digital landscape in rural Tamil Nadu is one of contested progress. Quantitative gains in internet access, driven by mobile technology, are undeniable. However, these gains are undermined by qualitative deficits in skills and a persistent empowerment gap shaped by deep-rooted sociological structures of gender, caste, and class. The journey from being a passive

consumer of entertainment and communication to an active, empowered user of the internet for socio-economic advancement remains a distant reality for a significant portion of the rural population. For achieving true digital inclusion requires moving beyond a narrow focus on infrastructure. Policy must be reoriented to address the multidimensional nature of the divide. The following recommendations are proposed:

- 1) **Contextualized Digital Literacy Missions:** Move beyond basic computer literacy to curricula that are relevant to rural livelihoods (e.g., digital farming techniques, online market access, e-governance procedures) and delivered in the local language.
- 2) **Gender-Sensitive Interventions:** Develop targeted programs, which encourage and support women's digital participation, including creating safe online spaces, promoting women-centric digital content, and involving men and community leaders in awareness campaigns.
- 3) **Promotion of Local Language Content:** Incentivize the creation of high-quality, useful digital content in Tamil across domains like education, health, agriculture, and governance.
- 4) **Community-Based Access Models:** Strengthen and re-imagine public access points like village knowledge centers as hubs for digital skill development, content creation, and support, rather than mere browsing centers.
- 5) **Integrating Digital Inclusion with Broader Development Goals:** Link digital literacy programs with existing schemes related to livelihood generation, health, and education to demonstrate the tangible utility of the internet.

Bridging the digital divide in rural Tamil Nadu is not merely a technological challenge but a profound sociological one. It demands a concerted effort that recognizes technology as a social artifact and digital

inclusion as a fundamental dimension of social justice.



REFERENCES

1. Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society: The Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture*. Wiley-Blackwell.
2. Gurumurthy, Anita and Chami, Nandini and Alemany, Cecilia, Gender Equality in the Digital Economy: Emerging Issues (October 1, 2019). Feminist Digital Justice Issue Paper 1 (2019), Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3872572>
3. Hargittai, E. (2002). Second-Level Digital Divide: differences in people's online skills. *First Monday*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v7i4.942>
4. Kleine, D. (2013). *Technologies of choice?: ICTs, Development, and the Capabilities Approach*. MIT Press.
5. *Digital India - A programme to transform India into digital empowered society and knowledge economy*. (n.d.). https://www.pib.gov.in/newsite/printer_release.aspx?relid=108926
6. National Statistical Office. (n.d.). *Key indicators of household social consumption on education in India*. https://www.thehinducentre.com/resources/article30980071.ece/binary/KI_Education_75th_Final_compressed.pdf
7. Baraka, K. (2024). Digital divide and social inequality. *International Journal of Humanity and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 30-45. <https://doi.org/10.47941/ijhss.2083>
8. Jafar, K., Ananthpur, K., & Venkatachalam, L. (2023). Digital divide and access to online education: new evidence from Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 25(2), 313-333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-023-00236-1>
9. Scheerder, A., Van Deursen, A., & Van Dijk, J. (2017). Determinants of Internet skills, uses and outcomes. A systematic review of the second- and third-level digital divide. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(8), 1607-1624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.07.007>
10. Singh, S. & Ramjas College, University of Delhi. (2009). Digital Divide in India: Measurement, determinants and policy for addressing the challenges in bridging the digital divide [Journal-article]. *Department of Commerce*. https://www.idra.it/garnetpapers/C06Suma njeet_Singh.pdf
11. Van Deursen, A., & Van Dijk, J. (2010). Internet skills and the digital divide. *New Media & Society*, 13(6), 893-911. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444810386774>
12. Reynolds, R. (2020). JanvanDijk. (2020). The digital divide. Cambridge, UK: Polity. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 72(1), 136-138. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24355>