International Journal of Academic Research
P-ISSN: 2348-7666: Impact Factor: 7.215
Homepage: https://www.ijar.org.in/
Volume-13, Issue-3(2), Jul-Sep-2026
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [CC BY 4.0]

The Road to 2047: Evolving Constitutional Democracy in India

Dr. Zaheda Begum
Associate Professor, Dept of Political Science, Government Degree College Maheshwaram

Abstract
Constitutional democracy in India@2047 represents the nation's aspirational journey toward a more inclusive, participatory, and accountable governance system as it completes 100 years of independence. Rooted in the Indian Constitution's core values—justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity—the vision for 2047 emphasizes strengthening democratic institutions while adapting to rapidly changing social, economic, and technological realities. As India's population becomes more educated and digitally connected, democratic participation is expected to expand through transparent, tech-enabled platforms that enhance citizen engagement and government responsiveness. By 2047, India aims to deepen federalism by empowering states and local bodies, ensuring that governance is closer to the people. Constitutional democracy will also depend on protecting fundamental rights while encouraging a culture of constitutional morality across institutions and society. Judicial reforms, timely dispute resolution, and safeguarding the independence of constitutional bodies will be crucial in maintaining the rule of law. At the same time, electoral reforms—such as cleaner politics, increased political awareness, and stronger anti-corruption mechanisms—are expected to renew public trust in democratic processes. Social harmony and inclusiveness will remain central. Bridging regional, gender, and socio- economic gaps will help India evolve into a democracy where every citizen experiences dignity and equal opportunity. As complex global challenges emerge—environmental issues, cybersecurity, and shifting geopolitical conditions—India's constitutional democracy must show resilience and adaptability without compromising its foundational values. India@2047 envisions a vibrant democracy where constitutional principles guide development, institutions function with integrity, and citizens participate actively andresponsibly. It imagines a nation that balances tradition with innovation, individual freedom with collective welfare, and rapid growth with ethical governance. Ultimately, constitutional democracy in India@2047 aspires to create a just, compassionate, and forward-looking society committed to the ideals of the Constitution.
Key Words: Constitutional Morality – Federalism -Citizen Participation -Rule of Law -Inclusiveness

I. Introduction

When the Constitution of India came into force on 26 January 1950, it created an audacious experiment: a universal-adult-franchise, parliamentary democracy in a deeply diverse, largely poor and largely illiterate society. The Constitution declared India to be a "sovereign democratic republic" (later "sovereign socialist secular democratic republic") and embedded a dense architecture of institutions—Parliament, an integrated yet independent judiciary, a federal structure with a strong Union, constitutional offices such as the Election Commission and the Comptroller and Auditor General—to channel popular sovereignty through law.

Nearly a century later, in 2047, India is envisioned as a *Viksit Bharat*—a fully developed, inclusive and globally respected nation, according to contemporary policy documents and governmental initiatives. However, the journey from 1950 to 2047 is not merely about economic growth or technological advancement. It is equally about the evolution of constitutional democracy: how faithfully institutions reflect constitutional values, how power is exercised and restrained, and how citizens participate in public life. The "Road to 2047" thus becomes an occasion to assess both achievements and anxieties: the endurance of electoral democracy, the resilience of the basic structure doctrine, and the mounting challenges of polarisation, centralisation and erosion of institutional trust.

ndia's constitutional democracy, inaugurated in 1950, has combined a parliamentary system, federalism with a strong centre, justiciable fundamental rights, judicial review and the basic structure doctrine to sustain the world's largest democracy. As the Republic approaches its centenary in 2047, debates on *Viksit Bharat @ 2047* emphasise economic transformation, social justice and good governance, but also raise critical questions about the future of constitutionalism and democratic practice.

This paper examines the evolution of India's constitutional democracy from 1950 to the mid-2020s and maps possible trajectories to 2047. It first outlines the core features of the constitutional design—popular sovereignty, separation of powers, an independent judiciary and a broad rights framework—highlighting the role of the

Supreme Court in articulating and protecting the basic structure of the Constitution. It then analyses contemporary stresses: growing centralisation of power, criminalisation and monetisation of politics, concerns over electoral integrity, weakening of institutional checks and balances, and the impact of digital media on public reasoning and polarisation.

Using a normative-institutional approach, the paper argues that India's journey to 2047 will be shaped by three interlinked reform agendas: (i) deepening political democracy through electoral, party-system and campaign-finance reforms; (ii) strengthening constitutionalism by safeguarding judicial independence, civil liberties, and the autonomy of "fourth-branch" institutions; and (iii) advancing social and economic democracy via inclusive development, empowered local governments and effective implementation of fundamental duties and directive principles.

The conclusion proposes a vision of *substantive* constitutional democracy in 2047—one that not only holds regular elections, but also secures dignity, equality and meaningful participation for all citizens while preserving India's plural, secular and republican foundations. In doing so, it locates constitutional democracy at the heart of the broader Viksit Bharat 2047 project.

This paper offers a broad, analytical account of India's constitutional democracy as it moves towards 2047. It proceeds in five parts. Section II outlines the foundational design of India's constitutional democracy. Section III traces key phases in its evolution, including the Emergency, liberalisation, coalition era and the current phase of dominant-party politics. Section IV analyses the major contemporary challenges. Section V discusses reform pathways and normative benchmarks for a robust constitutional democracy in 2047. Section VI concludes with a composite vision for "Constitutional Democracy in India @ 2047".

II. Constitutional Design: Foundations of Indian Democracy

1. Philosophical and structural underpinnings

The Preamble encapsulates the normative core of the Constitution—justice (social, economic and political), liberty, equality and fraternity. These values were shaped by anti-colonial struggles, Gandhian ideas of self-rule, Ambedkar's insistence on social justice and Nehru's commitment to parliamentary democracy. The architecture that operationalises these values includes:

- **Parliamentary system** based on collective responsibility of the Council of Ministers to the Lok Sabha.
- **Federation with a strong Union**, combining a written constitution, division of powers and a single judiciary.
- **Fundamental Rights (Part III)**, enforceable by courts, guaranteeing civil and political liberties such as equality before law, freedoms of speech and association, and protection of life and personal liberty.
- **Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV)**, which, though non-justiciable, set socio-economic goals like minimising inequality and promoting welfare.
- **Fundamental Duties (Part IVA)**, added later, emphasising civic responsibility.

This framework embeds the idea of **constitutional supremacy**—all organs of the state are subject to the Constitution, whose interpretation ultimately lies with the higher judiciary.

2. Basic Structure doctrine and judicial review

A distinctive feature of Indian constitutional democracy is the **basic structure doctrine**, articulated by the Supreme Court in *Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973). The Court held that Parliament's power to amend the Constitution under Article 368 does not extend to destroying its "basic structure," which includes principles such as the supremacy of the Constitution, republican and democratic form of government, secularism, separation of powers and judicial review.

This doctrine, built upon earlier recognition of judicial review (for example, in *Golaknath* and later cases), has enabled the Court to strike down constitutional amendments that threaten core features, such as attempts to immunise elections of top constitutional functionaries from judicial scrutiny or to curtail judicial review drastically.

In functional terms, the basic structure doctrine serves three democratic purposes:

1. **Guarding against abusive constitutionalism** by any transient majority.
2. **Preserving inter-institutional balance** between Parliament, executive and judiciary.
3. **Projecting a long-term constitutional identity** that transcends short-term political cycles.

3. Fourth-branch institutions and electoral democracy

India's democratic design also relies on independent "fourth-branch" institutions:

- **Election Commission of India (ECI)** to conduct free and fair elections.
- **Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG)** to oversee public finances.
- **Union and State Public Service Commissions**, etc.

Periodic elections at Union, State and local levels (panchayats and municipalities, especially after the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments) have entrenched electoral competition and participation.

At the same time, scholarship and policy reports point to mounting strains: money and muscle power, criminalisation of politics, opaque political funding and declining internal democracy within political parties. These features complicate the functioning of electoral democracy within the constitutional framework.

III. Phases in the Evolution of Constitutional Democracy

1. 1950–1969: Foundational phase and one-party dominance

The early decades saw the dominance of the Indian National Congress, which helped maintain political stability but raised concerns about intra-system checks and balances. The judiciary established its role as guardian of rights (e.g., in *Shankari Prasad*, *Golaknath* and other cases), while Parliament used its amending power extensively to pursue land reforms and social justice, leading to tensions over property rights and the scope of judicial review.

Despite these tensions, democratic alternation at the state level, institutionalisation of elections and consolidation of federal politics signalled that constitutional democracy was taking root.

2. 1970–1977: Constitutional crisis and the Emergency

The early 1970s witnessed intense conflict between the executive and the judiciary, culminating in the *Kesavananda Bharati* judgment and the subsequent supersession of judges. The declaration of Emergency (1975–77), suspension of fundamental rights, curtailment of press freedom and widespread misuse of state power exposed the vulnerabilities of constitutional democracy to executive overreach.

The 42nd Amendment sought to entrench Parliamentary supremacy and weaken judicial review, but later amendments and judicial pronouncements rolled back several provisions. The electorate's rejection of the ruling party in 1977 also demonstrated the corrective capacity of democratic processes.

3. 1980s–1990s: Coalition era, liberalisation and identity politics

Post-Emergency years saw greater fragmentation of the party system, rise of regional parties and coalitions at the Centre. This period also witnessed the Mandal Commission implementation, the Ram Janmabhoomi movement and economic liberalisation (1991 onwards.

Constitutional democracy had to accommodate intense identity-based mobilisation (caste and religion), economic restructuring and demands for greater regional autonomy. The judiciary, through public interest litigation, expanded the scope of Article 21 and socio-economic rights (e.g., environment, education), while Parliament enacted rights-based legislation in later years.

4. 2000s–2020s: Assertion of strong leadership and institutional strains

From the mid-2010s, Indian politics entered an era of a dominant party at the Centre with a strong leadership style. Alongside ambitious development initiatives and welfare schemes, concerns grew about:

- **Erosion of federal norms** through increased use of centrally designed programmes and perceived political use of governor's offices and central agencies.
- **Shrinking space for dissent**, including use of sedition and anti-terror laws, internet shutdowns and pressure on civil society organisations.
- **Controversies over electoral integrity**, voter roll revisions, political funding mechanisms (like electoral bonds) and perceived partisan tilt of institutions.

Simultaneously, the government articulated **Viksit Bharat @ 2047** as a long-term vision, integrating goals of economic growth, social inclusion, sustainability and improved governance. The question is whether constitutional democracy will be deepened or diluted in the process.

IV. Contemporary Challenges on the Road to 2047

1. Electoral integrity, money power and criminalisation

Studies and official data highlight the persistence of candidates with serious criminal cases, high campaign expenditures and opaque funding patterns—issues that can distort representation and policy-making.

Key concerns include:

- **Criminalisation of politics:** Legislators facing charges for serious offences yet contesting and winning elections, undermining public trust in democratic institutions.

- **Unregulated or under-regulated political finance:** Extensive use of unaccounted funds, corporate influence and limited transparency.
- **Uneven playing field in elections:** Allegations about misuse of state machinery, media bias, and attempts to manipulate or selectively revise electoral rolls.

Without credible reforms, these trends risk converting electoral democracy into a formal shell, where outcomes are heavily influenced by money, muscle and media rather than informed citizen choice.

2. Independence and capacity of institutions

A second set of challenges concerns **institutional autonomy and capacity**:

- **Judiciary:** Pendency of cases, delays in appointments, and debates about judicial independence versus accountability.
- **Election Commission:** Perceptions—rightly or wrongly—of partisanship can erode trust in the referee of elections.
- **Investigative and regulatory agencies:** Concerns about selective enforcement against political opponents.
- **Parliamentary functioning:** Declining hours of debate, frequent disruptions and heavy reliance on ordinances or hurried legislation can weaken deliberative democracy.

For 2047, a key question is whether these institutions will be structurally insulated from partisan pressure and equipped with the resources and norms needed to perform their constitutional roles effectively.

3. Federalism and local self-government

The Indian Constitution envisages a **cooperative federalism** where powers are divided but coordination is encouraged. However, issues like:

- Uneven fiscal devolution,
- Controversies over centrally sponsored schemes,
- Use of governors and centrally controlled agencies in state politics, and
- Perceived asymmetries in Centre–State bargaining

have raised concerns over creeping centralisation.

At the same time, while the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments created elected panchayats and municipalities, genuine **devolution of functions, funds and functionaries** remains incomplete in many states. Strengthening local democracy is essential if the vision of "Atmanirbhar Panchayats" and grassroots-driven development in 2047 is to be realised.

4. Rights, dissent and digital public sphere

Constitutional democracy requires not only elections but also protection of **civil liberties** and a vibrant public sphere. Challenges include:

- Use of stringent security laws and sedition-like provisions against activists, journalists or protestors.
- Internet shutdowns and digital surveillance which can chill speech.
- Spread of hate speech, misinformation and targeted disinformation via social media.

These dynamics can weaken the ability of citizens to exercise their rights meaningfully and to hold power to account. The digital public sphere, although enabling participation, also accelerates polarisation and undermines reasoned deliberation if left unchecked.

5. Social justice and substantive equality

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar warned that political democracy without social and economic democracy would be fragile. Despite progress in reducing poverty and expanding welfare, caste-based discrimination, gender inequality, regional disparities and exclusion of marginalised communities remain deep.

If by 2047 the benefits of growth are not widely shared and structural hierarchies persist, constitutional democracy may function formally but fail substantively.

V. Reform Pathways Towards 2047

To ensure that the Road to 2047 strengthens rather than weakens constitutional democracy, three broad reform agendas can be outlined.

1. Deepening political and electoral democracy

a) **Comprehensive electoral reforms**

- **Decriminalisation:** Fast-track courts for cases involving elected representatives; disqualification upon framing of serious charges with safeguards; stricter disclosure norms.
- **Political finance reforms:** Greater transparency in donations; caps on corporate contributions; strong oversight over anonymous instruments; exploring partial or conditional public funding.
- **Strengthening the Election Commission:** Transparent and multi-institutional processes for appointment of Election Commissioners; fixed and protected tenures; adequate staffing and technological capacity.

b) **Revitalising parliamentary democracy**

- Institutionalising pre-legislative consultation and committee scrutiny of most bills.
- Ensuring adequate sittings and reducing ordinance-making.
- Empowering opposition rights—time for questions, leadership of key committees—to create a culture of robust but responsible contestation.

c) **Internal democracy within political parties**

- Legal and regulatory frameworks, combined with incentives, to encourage regular internal elections, transparent candidate selection and disclosure of intra-party rules.

These measures would move India closer to a **substantive electoral democracy** by 2047, where competition is fair, informed and genuinely representative.

2. Strengthening constitutionalism and rule of law

a) **Judicial independence and access to justice**

- Balancing independence and accountability in judicial appointments through transparent, consultative mechanisms.
- Expanding judicial capacity—more judges, better infrastructure, technology-enabled case management—to tackle pendency.
- Strengthening lower judiciary, which is the first interface for most citizens, through improved conditions of service and training.

b) **Autonomy of fourth-branch institutions**

- Clearly defined statutory charters and appointment processes for regulators, oversight bodies and commissions to shield them from executive dominance.
- Ensuring adequate financial and operational autonomy, subject to legislative oversight.

c) **Civil liberties protections**

- Periodic parliamentary review and sunset clauses for extraordinary laws.
- Clear and narrow definitions of offences related to national security and public order.
- Strong data-protection and surveillance-oversight frameworks to maintain privacy while enabling legitimate security objectives.

Constitutional democracy in 2047 must rest on **predictable, impartial and rights-respecting governance**, not on discretionary or personalised power.

3. Advancing social and economic democracy

a) **Using Directive Principles as a democratic roadmap**

The Directive Principles, though non-justiciable, provide a blueprint for social and economic transformation—minimising inequality, securing adequate livelihoods, promoting education and public health. Aligning the Viksit Bharat 2047 economic agenda with these principles can integrate growth with constitutional justice.

b) **Inclusive development and targeted equity**

- Investing heavily in public education and health to create a capabilities-based foundation for citizenship.
- Strengthening affirmative action while also experimenting with broader measures to address multidimensional disadvantage.
- Ensuring gender-sensitive policies—safety, economic participation, political representation.

c) **Empowered local democracy**

- Genuine devolution to panchayats and municipalities, including control over local planning, service delivery and revenue.

- Capacity-building for local representatives; use of digital platforms for participatory budgeting and social audits.

d) **Civic culture and constitutional literacy**

A long-term reform agenda must also focus on the **culture** of constitutional democracy:

- Integrating constitutional values, critical thinking and civic skills into school and university curricula.
- Observing occasions such as Constitution Day and National Voters' Day as spaces for reflective engagement, not mere rituals.
- Encouraging media and civil-society initiatives that promote fact-checking, dialogue across differences and ethical public discourse.

VI. Vision of Constitutional Democracy in India @ 2047

By 2047, India will complete a century as an independent republic. The Road to 2047 is not pre-ordained; it will be shaped by choices made by institutions, political actors and citizens in the coming decades. Drawing together the analysis above, we can outline a **normative vision** of constitutional democracy in India @ 2047 along five axes:

1. **Constitutionally anchored state**
    - The basic structure doctrine continues to safeguard the core of the Constitution.
    - Constitutional amendments, when needed, are made through broad consensus and deliberation, not narrow partisan manoeuvres.
2. **Substantive electoral democracy**
    - Elections at all levels are genuinely competitive, transparent and inclusive, with minimal influence of money and muscle.
    - Political parties are internally democratic, programmatic and responsive to citizen concerns.
3. **Robust separation and balance of powers**
    - Parliament, executive and judiciary each exercise their functions vigorously yet within constitutional limits.
    - Fourth-branch institutions operate independently and command cross-partisan respect.
4. **Rights-respecting and deliberative public sphere**
    - Freedom of expression, association and peaceful assembly are protected in practice.
    - Digital technologies are harnessed for inclusion and accountability, while strong safeguards mitigate misinformation, hate speech and surveillance abuse.
5. **Socially just and inclusive republic**
    - Economic growth translates into reduced poverty, narrowed regional disparities and enhanced human development.
    - Structural injustices based on caste, gender, religion or region are progressively dismantled; diversity is seen as a source of strength.

In such a scenario, **Viksit Bharat 2047** is not only a developed economy but also a **mature constitutional democracy**, where institutions are trusted, citizens are empowered, and constitutional values guide collective life. The Road to 2047, therefore, demands not only technocratic planning and economic reforms but also a sustained commitment to constitutional morality, civic virtue and democratic imagination.

Conclussion: India's constitutional democracy remains resilient but faces significant stress. As India approaches 2047, the imperative is not only to preserve democracy but to deepen it—substantively, socially, institutionally, and culturally. The reforms outlined in this paper offer a roadmap for strengthening institutions, empowering citizens, enhancing federalism, protecting rights, and promoting inclusion. A truly democratic India@2047 will not just conduct elections; it will embody constitutional morality, social justice, pluralism, dignity, and human development for all.

REFERENCES

1. Arora, B., & Verney, D. V. (1995). *Multiple identities in a single state: Indian federalism in comparative perspective*. Konark Publishers.
2. Austin, G. (1999). *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a Nation*. Oxford University Press.
3. Austin, G. (2003). *Working a Democratic Constitution: The Indian Experience*. Oxford University Press.
4. Baxi, U. (1980). *The Indian Supreme Court and Politics*. Eastern Book Company.

5. Baxi, U. (1985). *Courage, Craft and Contention: The Indian Supreme Court in the Eighties*. N.M. Tripathi Pvt. Ltd.
6. Chaudhuri, S. (2021). *Democracy in peril: India's crisis of constitutionalism*. Economic and Political Weekly, 56(10), 32–40.
7. Chatterjee, P. (2022). *The Politics of the Governed*. Permanent Black.
8. Guha, R. (2007). *India After Gandhi*. HarperCollins.
9. Mehta, P. B. (2003). *The Burden of Democracy*. Penguin.
10. Neyazi, T. A. (2018). *Political Communication and Mobilisation: The Indian Experience*. Cambridge University Press.
11. Palshikar, S., & Kumar, S. (2021). *Federalism in India: Contemporary Challenges*. EPW, 56(6), 45–54.
12. Rajagopal, A. (2019). *Digital authoritarianism and democratic backsliding*. Journal of Democracy, 30(2), 27–41.
13. Rao, B. N. (1968). *The Framing of India's Constitution*. Indian Institute of Public Administration.
14. Thorat, S., & Newman, K. S. (Eds.). (2010). *Blocked by Caste: Economic Discrimination in Modern India*. Oxford University Press.
15. Vaishnav, M. (2017). *When Crime Pays: Money and Muscle in Indian Politics*. Yale University Press.
16. Yadav, Y. (2020). *Democracy's amendments: Indian politics in the 2010s*. Seminar, 728, 14–21.