

Impact of Populism on Traditional Political Ideologies

Kommata Shiva Kumar

Department of Political Science, Kakatiya University, Warangal.

Introduction

A political strategy known as populism aims to speak for the concerns and opinions of common people in opposition to the establishment or elite. Populism, which has emerged in a variety of shapes in a variety of political circumstances, frequently flourishes on the idea that a divided society can be united by the direct will of the people, eschewing established political institutions and elites. In contrast to the current power structures, which are viewed as corrupt, disjointed, or self-serving, it typically presents itself as an advocate for the average people. Fundamentally, populism can appear in a variety of political circumstances and grievances, ranging from left-wing to right-wing beliefs. Left-wing populists might focus on economic inequality and social justice, advocating for policies that benefit the working class and marginalized groups. Right-wing populists, on the other hand, often emphasize nationalism, cultural identity, and traditional values, frequently challenging immigration and globalization. Populism's rise in recent years has been facilitated by factors such as economic disparity, political disillusionment, and the rapid dissemination of information via digital media. Leaders and movements that embrace populist rhetoric typically leverage these conditions to mobilize support and critique established institutions. While populism can drive significant political change and mobilize disenfranchised voters, it also poses challenges to established democratic norms and can contribute to increased political polarization. Understanding populism is crucial for grasping contemporary political dynamics and the shifting landscape of global governance. The rise of populism has emerged as a transformative force in contemporary Indian politics, reshaping the way parties, leaders, and citizens engage with the political process. Populism, broadly defined, refers to political strategies in which leaders appeal directly to "the people," often portraying themselves as champions of ordinary citizens against a corrupt or detached elite. Unlike conventional party politics that rely on structured ideologies, institutional loyalty, and policy-based debates, populism emphasizes emotional appeal, charisma, and immediate responsiveness to public grievances. This shift has significant implications for traditional political ideologies, including socialism, liberalism, conservatism, and regional or identity-based frameworks, which have historically guided Indian political thought.

In India, traditional political ideologies were shaped by historical, social, and economic contexts. The Congress party, for instance, historically combined elements of democratic socialism, secularism, and developmental nationalism, focusing on welfare policies, land reforms, and institution-building. Similarly, leftist movements prioritized class-based struggles, redistribution of wealth, and social justice. Regional parties often emphasized linguistic, cultural, or caste-based representation, maintaining ideological coherence around community or identity-based interests. These ideologies functioned within institutional frameworks, party manifestos, and policy-oriented debates, shaping long-term governance strategies.

The advent of populism challenges these traditional ideological structures by prioritizing personality-driven politics and short-term voter gratification over sustained policy planning. Populist leaders often bypass ideological debates, focusing instead on emotive rhetoric, symbolic gestures, and immediate solutions such as subsidies, loan waivers, or targeted

welfare schemes. This approach can dilute the theoretical foundations of traditional ideologies, blurring distinctions between socialist, liberal, or conservative policy frameworks. Populist politics also emphasizes direct engagement with citizens via media and social platforms, reducing the role of party institutions and established channels of political discourse.

Moreover, populism impacts ideological coherence by shifting public expectations. Citizens increasingly evaluate political actors based on perceived responsiveness and symbolic representation rather than adherence to ideological principles. This trend encourages political parties to adopt populist strategies regardless of their historical ideological orientation, leading to hybrid political narratives that prioritize electoral gain over doctrinal consistency. Consequently, ideological identities of parties may become flexible, with populist rhetoric overriding traditional political philosophies.

Understanding the impact of populism on traditional political ideologies is crucial for comprehending the evolution of Indian democracy. It highlights how personality-centered politics, emotional appeals, and immediate public gratification reshape policy priorities, governance strategies, and citizen-party relationships. This introduction sets the stage for a detailed examination of the mechanisms through which populism interacts with, adapts, or undermines conventional political ideologies, offering insights into the future trajectory of Indian political discourse.

Impact of Populism on Traditional Political Ideologies

Populism, characterized by its appeal to "the people" against a corrupt elite, has been reshaping traditional political ideologies globally. This influence is evident across various dimensions of political systems, including the erosion of established norms, challenges to liberal democracy, shifts in economic policies, transformations in party systems, impacts on social issues, and alterations in international relations. To understand this impact comprehensively, let's examine each dimension with empirical evidence.

Erosion of Established Political Norms

Populism has often challenged the norms and practices of traditional political systems. A key example of this is the rise of populist leaders such as Donald Trump in the United States, Jair Bolsonaro in Brazil, and Viktor Orbán in Hungary. Each of these leaders has utilized antiestablishment rhetoric to galvanize support, often at the expense of traditional political practices and norms. In the United States, Donald Trump's presidency marked a significant departure from conventional political behavior. His frequent attacks on the media, judiciary, and intelligence agencies were unprecedented in modern American politics. For instance, Trump's consistent labeling of the media as "fake news" and his attempts to discredit judicial decisions, such as his criticism of judges who ruled against his immigration policies, represented a direct challenge to established norms of political discourse and institutional respect.

Similarly, in Hungary, Viktor Orbán's government has systematically undermined democratic institutions. Since coming to power in 2010, Orbán has enacted numerous reforms that critics argue weaken the independence of the judiciary and limit media freedom. The adoption of the new constitution in 2011, which centralized power and curtailed the checks and balances traditionally upheld by Hungarian democratic institutions, is a notable example of this trend. The erosion of established norms in these cases demonstrates how populism can lead to a departure from traditional political practices and principles, often resulting in increased political polarization and diminished trust in institutions.

Challenge to Liberal Democracy

Populism poses a significant challenge to liberal democracy by undermining democratic institutions and principles. This is particularly evident in the actions of populist governments that prioritize direct representation and majority rule over constitutional safeguards. One of the most notable examples is Turkey under President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan. Erdoğan's tenure has seen a series of constitutional amendments and political maneuvers that significantly shift Turkey from a parliamentary system to a more centralized executive system. The 2017 constitutional referendum, which passed with a narrow margin, granted extensive powers to the presidency, effectively reducing the role of the parliament and judiciary. This move has been widely criticized as a threat to the separation of powers and democratic checks and balances, core tenets of liberal democracy.

In Poland, the ruling Law and Justice Party (PiS) has also challenged liberal democratic norms. Since coming to power in 2015, PiS has implemented reforms that critics argue undermine judicial independence. For example, changes to the judiciary have allowed the ruling party to appoint and dismiss judges, raising concerns about the erosion of judicial impartiality and the rule of law. The European Union has responded with legal actions and political pressure, but the situation highlights the tension between populist governance and liberal democratic principles.

Shift in Economic Policies

Populism often leads to significant shifts in economic policies, reflecting a departure from traditional economic ideologies. Populist leaders frequently advocate for protectionist and nationalist economic policies that contrast with the more globalist approaches of traditional parties. In the United States, Donald Trump's trade policies provide a clear example of this shift. Trump's administration pursued an "America First" approach, imposing tariffs on steel and aluminum imports and renegotiating trade agreements such as NAFTA, which was replaced with the USMCA (United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement). These actions marked a departure from the free trade policies traditionally favored by both major U.S. political parties. The emphasis on protectionism and trade barriers reflected a populist agenda aimed at addressing perceived injustices in global trade and protecting American industries.

Similarly, in Italy, the rise of the populist government led by the Five Star Movement and the League resulted in significant changes to economic policy. The coalition government pushed for policies such as a universal basic income and a flat tax, which were seen as diverging from traditional neoliberal economic principles. The focus on expanding social benefits and altering the tax system was part of a broader populist strategy to address economic inequalities and garner support from disaffected voters.

Transformation of Party Systems

Populism has also led to transformations in party systems, often resulting in the emergence of new political actors and the realignment of traditional parties. Populist movements can disrupt established party structures and force traditional parties to adapt or merge. In France, the rise of Marine Le Pen's National Rally (formerly National Front) has had a significant impact on the traditional party system. Le Pen's populist rhetoric and antiestablishment stance have drawn substantial support from voters disillusioned with traditional parties. This shift has forced traditional parties, such as the Socialist Party and the Republicans, to reconsider their positions and strategies. The 2017 presidential election, in which Le Pen advanced to the second round, highlighted the realignment of the French political landscape and the challenge posed to traditional parties by populist forces.

Impact on Social Issues

Populism has also influenced social issues, often amplifying identity politics and shifting traditional party positions. Populist leaders frequently emphasize issues related to identity, culture, and immigration, which can lead to changes in political discourse and policy. In the United States, Donald Trump's presidency brought issues of immigration and national identity to the forefront of political discourse. His administration's policies, such as the travel ban targeting predominantly Muslim countries and the construction of a border wall with Mexico, highlighted a shift towards more nationalist and exclusionary approaches. These policies not only affected immigration but also influenced broader discussions on national identity and cultural values. In Italy, the populist government led by the League and its leader, Matteo Salvini, focused heavily on immigration and national identity issues. Salvini's anti-immigrant rhetoric and policies, such as closing ports to rescue ships carrying migrants, resonated with a significant portion of the electorate and influenced the political discourse on social issues. This focus on identity and immigration reflected a broader populist trend that prioritized nationalistic and cultural concerns over traditional left-right ideological positions.

International Relations

Populism has also impacted international relations by promoting nationalist and isolationist policies that contrast with traditional internationalist approaches. Populist leaders often prioritize national interests over global cooperation, affecting alliances and international agreements. In the United States, Donald Trump's "America First" foreign policy marked a significant shift from traditional internationalist approaches. Trump's withdrawal from the Paris Agreement on climate change, the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), and the Iran nuclear deal were all indicative of a more isolationist stance. These actions reflected a populist agenda that prioritized national sovereignty and economic interests over global cooperation and agreements.

Narendra Modi and the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP)

Populist Rhetoric and Electoral Strategy

Narendra Modi's political strategy has been marked by a blend of nationalist rhetoric and populist promises. His 2014 and 2019 election campaigns effectively utilized a populist narrative that emphasized his humble origins, direct connection with the common people, and his vision for a "New India." Modi's slogan, "Achhe Din Aane Wale Hain" ("Good days are coming"), promised economic prosperity and development, resonating with a broad spectrum of voters disillusioned with the previous administration's perceived inefficiencies. Modi's approach also involved significant use of media and technology to reach voters. His adept use of social media platforms, particularly Twitter and Facebook, allowed him to communicate directly with the electorate, bypassing traditional media channels and party structures. This direct engagement is a hallmark of populist strategies, enabling Modi to craft a personal brand that appealed to both urban and rural voters.

Impact on Traditional Political Norms

Modi's rise has significantly impacted traditional political norms in India. The BJP under Modi has adopted a more centralized and authoritative style of governance compared to the coalition politics that characterized previous administrations. This shift is evident in several ways:

Centralization of Power: Modi's administration has centralized decision-making processes, often bypassing established party mechanisms and consultative processes. For example, key

economic reforms, such as the Goods and Services Tax (GST) and the demonetization initiative, were implemented with minimal prior consultation or debate, reflecting a top-down approach.

Nationalism and Identity Politics: Modi's tenure has seen a pronounced emphasis on Hindu nationalism and cultural identity. The BJP's promotion of Hindutva ideology has altered the discourse on national identity, challenging the secular and pluralistic principles that have traditionally underpinned Indian democracy. The abrogation of Article 370, which granted special status to Jammu and Kashmir, and the passage of the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) are examples of policies that align with this nationalist agenda.

Political Polarization: The BJP's populist tactics have contributed to increased political polarization in India. Modi's rhetoric often frames political opponents as enemies of the nation or obstacles to progress, fostering a divisive political environment. This polarization is evident in the heightened tensions between different religious and ethnic communities, with Modi's critics accusing his administration of fostering communal discord.

Economic and Social Policies

Modi's populist policies have had mixed impacts on India's economy and society. On the one hand, initiatives such as the "Make in India" campaign and infrastructure development projects aimed to boost economic growth and job creation. On the other hand, controversial policies like demonetization, which sought to curb black money and corruption, faced criticism for their disruptive effects on the economy and livelihoods of ordinary citizens. Modi's emphasis on digitalization and financial inclusion, through schemes like Jan Dhan Yojana (financial inclusion) and Digital India, reflects a populist desire to modernize and democratize access to services. However, the execution and impact of these policies have varied, with some successes in increasing bank accounts and digital transactions but challenges in addressing broader socio-economic inequalities.

Impact on Traditional Political Dynamics

Disruption of Established Parties: AAP's success has disrupted the dominance of traditional parties in Delhi. In the 2015 Delhi elections, AAP won a landslide victory with 67 of 70 seats, reflecting a significant shift in voter sentiment and a challenge to the BJP and Congress's established positions. The party's ability to mobilize grassroots support and present itself as a genuine alternative has altered the political landscape in the region.

Governance and Accountability: AAP's governance model emphasizes direct accountability and citizen participation, challenging the top-down approaches of traditional parties. The party has used mechanisms like public feedback and local forums to engage with residents and address their concerns. This participatory approach reflects a populist commitment to empowering ordinary citizens and enhancing the responsiveness of government.

Conclusion

Populism profoundly impacts traditional political ideologies by challenging established norms and reshaping political dynamics across multiple dimensions. Populist movements, with their focus on representing "the people" against perceived elites, often lead to significant shifts in governance, policy, and party systems. They can erode democratic institutions, centralize power, and disrupt conventional party structures, as evidenced by figures like Donald Trump and Viktor Orbán. Economic policies under populist regimes frequently diverge from traditional free-market principles, embracing protectionism and interventionism. Populism also influences social and cultural discourse, amplifying identity politics and reshaping national priorities. On the international stage, populist agendas can lead to isolationist and nationalist policies that

alter global alliances and cooperation. While populism can mobilize disenfranchised voters and address genuine grievances, it also poses risks to democratic stability and institutional integrity. Understanding these impacts is crucial for navigating the evolving political landscape and ensuring that democratic principles and practices are upheld in the face of populist challenges.

References:

1. Inglehart, R., & Norris, P. (2016). Trump, Brexit, and the rise of populism: Economic have-nots and cultural backlash. Harvard Kennedy School Working Paper Series. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2818659>
2. **Kaufman, Robert R., and Barbara F. Walter.** "Populism and Political Change in Democracies: Comparative Lessons." *Comparative Politics* 53, no. 2 (2021): 203–225.
3. Mounk, Y. (2018). The people vs. democracy: Why our freedom is in danger and how to save it. Harvard University Press.
4. Mudde, C. (2004). The populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition*, 39(4), 542-563. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-7053.2004.00135.x>
5. Pappas, T. (2019). Populism and liberal democracy: A comparative and theoretical analysis. Oxford University Press.
6. Rodrik, D. (2018). Straight talk on trade: Ideas for a sane world economy. Princeton University Press.