

Eradicating Poverty and reducing inequalities in Andhra Pradesh-Sustainable livelihoods and Food security: A Special Focus to P4 Program

Dr.D. Thirupathaiah¹, Dr.P. Pradeep², Mr.K. Srinivasa Rao³

¹Lecturer in Economics, Govt.Model Degree College, Yerragondapalem, Prakasam

²Lecturer in English, Govt.Model Degree College, Yerragondapalem, Prakasam

³Lecturer in Political Science, Govt.Model Degree College, Yerragondapalem,

Abstract:

Between 2011–12 and 2022–23, 269 million individuals in India left extreme poverty, demonstrating the country's impressive improvement in this area. The extreme poverty rate decreased dramatically from 27.1 percent in 2011–12 to just 5.3 percent in 2022–23, according to the most recent World Bank data. Approximately 344.47 million Indians lived in extreme poverty in 2011–12. That figure dropped precipitously to 75.24 million by 2022–2023.

States like Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Bihar, West Bengal, and Madhya Pradesh, which collectively accounted for 65% of India's extreme poor in 2011–12, made significant contributions to this advancement. Over the last ten years, these states have helped reduce poverty by two-thirds. India's poverty rate dropped from 16.2 percent in 2011 to just 2.3 percent in 2022 using the previous 2.15 dollar per day poverty limit, which was based on 2017 pricing. As a result, there are now 33.66 million people living in extreme poverty, down from 205.93 million. Living on less than \$3.00 USD per day, adjusted for 2021 values, is considered extreme poverty according to the World Bank

Keywords: India, Poverty, Eradication, Public Private People, Partnership.

1. Introduction

Eradicating poverty and reducing inequalities are central to achieving sustainable development goals in India. One of the most pressing challenges in this context is ensuring sustainable livelihoods and food security, particularly for marginalized populations. In Andhra Pradesh (AP), the integration of P4 – Public-Private-People Partnership – offers a unique and practical approach to tackle these issues through collaboration and shared responsibility.

I. Understanding the Core Issues

I.1. Poverty and Inequality in India

India has made progress in reducing poverty; however, multidimensional poverty, including lack of access to health, education, and decent work, continues to affect millions. Inequality manifests across caste, gender, region, and class lines, particularly in rural and tribal areas.

I.2. Challenges in Andhra Pradesh

In AP, poverty is concentrated in backward regions like **Rayalaseema, tribal belts of Eastern Ghats**, and coastal areas prone to **natural disasters**. Key issues include:

Unemployment and underemployment among youth and women

Agricultural distress due to climate change and poor infrastructure

Food insecurity, especially among SC/ST and fishing communities

Urban-rural divide in income, health, and education

II. Sustainable Livelihoods: A Strategy for Poverty Reduction

II.1. What is Sustainable Livelihood?

Sustainable livelihoods mean ongoing income generation through environmentally and economically viable means, without depleting natural resources. It integrates:

1. Skills and capacity building
2. Access to credit and markets
3. Use of technology and innovation
4. Institutional support

II.2. Key Sectors for Livelihood in AP

1. Agriculture and allied activities (horticulture, dairy, aquaculture)
2. Skill-based micro-enterprises
3. Handlooms and handicrafts
4. Tourism and ecotourism
5. Green jobs in renewable energy and afforestation

III. Food Security: Foundation for Development

III.1. Dimensions of Food Security

1. Availability – Sufficient food production
2. Access – Economic and physical access to food
3. Utilization – Safe and nutritious food intake
4. Stability – Consistent food supply over time

III.2. Government Interventions

1. Public Distribution System (PDS)
2. Mid-Day Meal Scheme
3. Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS)
4. National Food Security Act (NFSA)
5. Rythu Bharosa and PM-KISAN schemes for farmers

Despite these, leakages, exclusion errors, and poor nutrition persist, especially in tribal and drought-prone areas.

IV. P4 Approach: Public-Private-People Partnership

The P4 model emphasizes collaborative development where government, private sector, and communities work together. In Andhra Pradesh, this model is being applied innovatively to address poverty and inequality.

IV.1. Definition and Rationale

1. Public: Policy, infrastructure, subsidies, monitoring (e.g., government departments)
2. Private: Investment, innovation, technology (e.g., agri-tech firms, food processing units)
3. People: Ownership, local knowledge, participation (e.g., SHGs, farmer collectives, youth)

This synergy ensures resources are pooled, solutions are context-driven, and ownership remains with communities.

V. Examples of P4 in Andhra Pradesh

V.1. SERP (Society for Elimination of Rural Poverty)

1. Mobilizes rural women into Self-Help Groups (SHGs)
2. Trains in financial literacy, entrepreneurship, and sustainable farming
3. Works with banks (private), NGOs (people), and government (public)
4. Outcomes: Women's empowerment, increased household incomes, improved nutrition

V.2. Rythu Bharosa Kendras (RBKs)

One-stop centres for farmers for seeds, fertilizers, advisories

1. Public: State-run services

2. Private: Agri-input providers, digital platforms like e-NAM
3. People: Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)
4. Result: Improved productivity, reduced input costs, better market access

V.3. Aqua Labs & Aqua Hubs (Fisheries Sector)

1. AP is a leader in aquaculture.
2. Government supports lab-testing and export readiness.
3. Private sector provides tech for cold chains, logistics
4. Fishermen's cooperatives are trained in best practices and business skills

V.4. Millet Mission

1. Encourages millet cultivation for climate resilience and food diversity
2. Private players help with branding, packaging, and e-commerce
3. Tribal farmers are key stakeholders
4. Contributes to nutrition security and ecological sustainability

VI. Role of Technology and Innovation in P4

1. Drone-based crop monitoring (used in AP by agri-tech start-ups in partnership with govt)
2. Digital literacy and e-market linkages for rural women entrepreneurs
3. Solar energy-based cold storage for perishables in tribal areas
4. Mobile apps for weather, price forecasting, and smart irrigation

VII. Recommendations for Strengthening P4 for Livelihoods and Food Security Policy Level

1. Strengthen P4 framework in state-level planning and budgeting
2. Integrate climate adaptation strategies with livelihood programs
3. Implementation Level
4. Enhance capacity of SHGs, FPOs, and community-based organizations
5. Incentivize private sector to invest in backward regions
6. Monitoring & Evaluation
7. Establish participatory impact assessments
8. Use digital tools for transparency and accountability
9. Social Inclusion
10. Special focus on SC/ST, women, youth, differently-abled, and minorities
11. Promote gender-responsive budgeting and livelihood planning
12. Education and Skill Development
13. Link schools and colleges with livelihood training
14. Encourage entrepreneurship and innovation among youth

VIII. Conclusion

Andhra Pradesh, with its proactive governance and active civil society, is well-positioned to lead India's fight against poverty and inequality through P4 partnerships. Sustainable livelihoods and food security cannot be achieved by government alone; they require collective action, community participation, and innovative private sector solutions. The future lies in localizing development, empowering communities, and sustaining progress through inclusion and resilience.

Bibliography

1. Ankuran.A(2020), Problem of Poverty in India, International Journal of Research and Review Vol.7; Issue: 1; E-ISSN: 2349-9788; P-ISSN: 2454-2237.

2. Babu, R., & Panda, A.N.,(2016), Poverty alleviation in India: A study of national policies and Programmes ,International Journal of Advanced Research and Development , Volume 1; Issue 2, ISSN: 2455-4030, PP-06-11.
3. Cappellari, L. & Jenkins, S.P. (2007), “Summarizing Multiple Deprivation Indicators,” In S. P. Jenkins and J. Micklewright (eds.), *Inequality & Poverty Reexamined*, New York: Oxford University Press, p. 166.
4. Government of India (1993). Report of the Expert Group on Estimation of Proportion and Number of Poor.
5. Krishna, A. and Shariff, A. (2010), “The Irrelevance of National Strategies: Rural Poverty Dynamics in States and Regions of India, 1993–2005, *Journal of Applied Economics*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 181-204.
6. Lakshmi, A., (2018), POVERTY ALLEVIATION PROGRAMMES IN (RURAL) INDIA: GAINS, BLAMES AND REMEDIES, *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences* Vol. 8, Issue 6(1), June 2018, ISSN: 2249-2496.
7. Ministry of Rural Development (2009), “Report of the Expert Group to Advise the Ministry of Rural Development on the Methodology for Conducting the Below Poverty Line (BPL) Census for 11th Five Year Plan”, August, New Delhi.
8. National Sample Survey Office, 68th round, Government of India, Key indicators of household consumer expenditure in India, 2013.
9. Rangarajan.C(2014), “Report of the Expert Group to Review the Methodology for measurement of Poverty”, Planning Commission Government of India.
10. Tiwari.U & Pawariya.V(2015), Poverty Alleviation and Unemployment Scenario in India, *International Journal of Research (IJR)* Vol-2, Issue-01, January 2015 ISSN 2348- 6848