

Sustainable Development Goals in India: A Critical Assessment of Implementation Pathways in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana

Ritika Rao Veeriseti

Research Scholar, Department of Economics, ICFAI School of Social Sciences, ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Deemed University, Hyderabad

Abstract:

This paper is a systematic literature review that aims to explore the potential of green bonds as a transformative financial instrument as a means of promoting sustainable development. The paper's methodological basis adopts a globalized view, with a particular emphasis on India. Green Bonds have been gaining popularity as a means to mobilise capital for those projects that are environmentally beneficial through adoption of renewable energy, low-carbon infrastructure, and climate adaptation initiatives. Investors whose incentives align with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) objectives may choose to invest in green bonds. This paper intends to further the understanding of the relationship between investor motivation and investment in green bonds as a means to help integrate sustainability into mainstream finance. Using the PRISMA framework, this paper examines the development, worldwide trends, and governance mechanisms of green bonds and draws attention to their difficulties as well as their potential. The analysis examines the advantages of third-party certifications, including enhanced investor confidence and greater transparency. It also looks at how these bonds fit in with the objectives of climate finance. However, the paper also addresses issues such as greenwashing, high certification and transaction costs, ambiguous definitions, and regional regulatory concerns. This study also provides a summary of the most recent research on green bonds and useful suggestions for regulators, legislators, and market players. To ensure that green bonds fulfill their promise of sustainable finance, it we reasonably conclude that a forward-looking agenda that emphasizes stronger impact assessment tools, capacity building, and more transparent regulations is needed

Keywords: Green bonds, sustainable finance, ESG, greenwashing, India

1. Introduction

Green Bonds are a testament to the shift towards a global consensus that the environment requires greater care through human intervention. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a global blueprint for achieving a sustainable future by addressing critical challenges such as poverty, inequality, and climate change. Among these, three SDGs are strongly aligned with green bond objectives, namely, Goal 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy), Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), and Goal 13 (Climate Action). Green bonds represent a crucial stage in evolving finance into a more environmentally conscious framework. They offer structured mechanisms for the purpose of financing projects with clear environmental benefits. For instance, investments in green energy through bond issuance directly reduce carbon emissions and enhance energy efficiency.

The interest in ESG-compliant investments is rising due to growing awareness of environmental risks and investor demand for socially responsible returns. As per statistics from the Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (2020) sustainable assets stand at \$35.3 trillion globally, forming 36% of all professionally managed assets. Moreover, such ESG ratings and

third-party evaluations are used to boost dwindling investor confidence. Another partial solution is certifications such as those conferred by the Climate Bonds Initiative, which help mitigate the problem of investments being misleadingly portrayed as environmentally friendly, which is known as "greenwashing".

The alarming rise in pollution is a major driver of green bond demand. In 2022, global CO₂ emissions reached 36.8 gigatonnes (IEA, 2023). The WHO (2014) links seven million annual deaths to pollution exposure. Climate change is a large contributor to extreme weather events and consequent financial downturns, reinforcing that effective environmental finance strategies is of urgent importance.

From a historical standpoint, efforts to mitigate climate change began with international treaties like the Kyoto Protocol (1997), have focussed on legally binding emission cuts for developed nations. The Paris Agreement (2015) extended responsibility to developing countries, aiming to limit temperature rise to 1.5°C. These global agreements laid the groundwork for green bonds as a climate finance mechanism (Eichner & Schop, 2024).

Green bonds are broadly defined as fixed-income securities with proceeds allocated exclusively to environmentally beneficial projects, as per the Green Bond Principles (ICMA, 2021). Since the first issuance by the EIB in 2007, green bonds have grown rapidly. By 2023, the global green bond market surpassed USD 2.5 trillion, and Q1 2024 alone saw \$195.9 billion in new issuances (Goldman Sachs, 2024).

Key players in driving green bond adoption are institutional investors, banks, and public institutions. A growing pattern is of institutional investors increasingly factor in ESG concerns, while banks underwrite and issue bonds, facilitating capital flow to sustainable projects. However, the market faces challenges, including inconsistent definitions, high certification costs, and fragmented taxonomies (Gilchrist et al., 2021; Cheong & Choi, 2020).

Definitions of "green" differ across countries, a practice which creates investor uncertainty. Compliance costs also deter smaller issuers, limiting market diversity (Hachenberg & Schiereck, 2018). Furthermore, studies diverge on whether green bonds carry a "greenium"—a price premium investors are willing to pay for ESG impact (Cheong & Choi, 2020).

Asia-Pacific, particularly India and China, has contributed significantly to the market's growth, despite challenges. This is seen in the rise in Asia's green bond issuances at 35% annually, driven by sustainable infrastructure needs and increasing private sector participation (Taghizadeh-Hesary et al., 2021).

2. Objectives & Research Question

This systematic literature review seeks to consolidate findings on the development and dynamics of the green bond market globally, with emphasis on India. It identifies gaps and proposes a future research agenda, particularly in areas such as governance, standardisation, and retail participation.

The central research question is: How has the green bond market evolved in terms of issuance, adoption, and geographical distribution since its inception in 2008, both globally and in India?

3. Data and Methodology

This study adopts the PRISMA approach to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and comprehensiveness. It reviews peer-reviewed articles, industry reports, and policy documents published between 2008 and 2024, drawing from databases such as Scopus, JSTOR, and Springer.

From an initial pool of 520 records, 68 studies were included in the final review after removing duplicates and screening for relevance. The scope of the review and its specific objectives are summarized below (table 1).

Table 1

Aspect	Details
Primary Focus	Green Bonds as a financial instrument for sustainable development
Themes Covered	Definitions, evolution, global trends, market dynamics, policy frameworks, and challenges
Regional Scope	Global context with a specific emphasis on India
The frame of Publications considered.	Studies published between 2008 and 2024
Sources	Peer-reviewed academic papers, industry reports, and policy documents
Methodology	Systematic literature review following PRISMA guidelines

4. Screening and Selection Process

The initial search begot a large pool of studies from multiple databases. The screening and selection process followed a systematic approach. A PRISMA flow diagram (figure 1) was used to visualize this selection process, documenting the number of studies included and excluded at each stage.

1. Identification of Studies: 520 records were identified through database searches and industry platforms.
2. Removal of Duplicates: After removing those that appeared several times, 435 studies remained.
3. Title and Abstract Screening: Titles and abstracts of the studies were reviewed to assess whether they are relevant to the current study based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. One hundred twenty-five studies were shortlisted for full-text review.
4. Full-Text Review: Full texts of the shortlisted studies were thoroughly examined to evaluate their alignment with the research objectives. After this step, 68 studies were included in the final analysis.

5. Theoretical Frameworks of Sustainable Finance

Several economic theories underpin the use of green bonds. The theory of externalities addresses market failures where private transactions ignore environmental costs. Green bonds help internalise these costs by aligning private and public incentives (Jian, 2023). Market Failure Theory similarly supports green finance by explaining why public goods like renewable energy are underfunded, due to high initial costs and non-excludability. Green bonds reduce risk and encourage investment through reputational gains and incentives (Tukhtabayev et al., 2024).

Signalling theory explains how green bond issuance demonstrates a firm's ESG commitment. This increases credibility, builds investor trust through transparency, and enhances competitiveness. However, signalling effectiveness depends on robust governance structures, including third-party verification (Myronchuk et al., 2024).

Sustainable finance theory reflects a paradigm shift from short-term profit maximisation to long-term value creation through environmental and social goals. Green bonds serve as tools for integrating ESG into capital allocation.

Modern Portfolio Theory supports adding green bonds to portfolios to diversify risk and align with sustainable development. Demand for “greenium” demonstrates investor willingness to accept lower returns for ESG alignment.

The concept of the circular economy—resource efficiency, waste minimisation—also aligns with green bond goals. Bonds fund projects like water optimisation and sustainable waste management (Liu et al., 2023).

Institutional theory underscores the role of standard-setting bodies like ICMA, which help create consistent reporting frameworks across issuers and regions.

6. Market Dynamics and Pricing Trends

Green bond issuance reached \$2.16 trillion globally in 2023 (Ringstad & Tselika, 2024). Europe leads the market, driven by policy innovations like the EU Green Bond Standard. The European Commission’s €12 billion post-COVID green bond issuance underscores this trend (Pyka, 2023).

China’s green bond market has also expanded rapidly. With \$15.1 billion issued in 2022 and national guidelines introduced, China is investing in renewable energy and sustainable urban development (Chen et al., 2024).

India’s green bond market, though smaller, has shown strong momentum. The Reserve Bank of India began issuing sovereign green bonds in 2023, supporting India’s 2030 target of 500 GW non-fossil fuel energy (Mishra et al., 2023).

Green bonds are increasingly mainstream but face persistent barriers like inconsistent definitions and greenwashing risks. Nonetheless, they remain pivotal in bridging the climate finance gap.

7. Environmental and Economic Impacts

Numerous studies confirm the positive environmental impact of green bonds. Lau et al. (2024) found that bonds help reduce emissions in high-pollution industries. Tsipas et al. (2024) showed bonds correlated with increased wind energy capacity across 55 countries.

Baştürk (2024) demonstrated that a 1% rise in green bond issuance led to statistically significant emission reductions globally. At the firm level, Zhang et al. (2024) found that green bonds support sustainable tech innovation.

Financially, green bonds are competitive. Many investors accept lower yields (greenium) in exchange for sustainability impact (Chesini, 2024). Nonetheless, their performance can fluctuate more than conventional bonds during economic shocks (Adebayo & Kartal, 2024).

8. Challenges in the Green Bond Market

Greenwashing is one of the most pressing issues. Studies in China show that firms may exaggerate environmental benefits to attract investment (Shi et al., 2023). Weak regulatory frameworks, especially in developing regions, exacerbate this.

Even in regulated markets, the lack of lifecycle reporting and limited audit requirements make green bonds vulnerable to misuse (Berrone et al., 2017). Market fragmentation due to inconsistent taxonomies hinders cross-border investments (Laufer, 2003).

High certification costs deter small issuers, particularly in emerging markets (Mutarindwa et al., 2024). Governments must incentivise third-party verification and streamline compliance requirements to increase market inclusivity.

9. Policies and Regulatory Frameworks

Policies such as the Paris Agreement and EU Taxonomy have accelerated green bond adoption by offering clear frameworks. In Asia's case, both India and China have implemented national standards and tax incentives.

SEBI's alignment with ICMA guidelines and India's Sovereign Green Bonds mark important steps. ASEAN Green Bond Standards also help align India's practices with regional norms (Yu, 2018). Concurrently, public institutions like the Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI) play a key role by offering certification and criteria for project eligibility (Tuhkanen, 2020).

10. A Closer Look at India

India has raised over USD 22.1 billion through green bonds between 2015 and 2022, making it the third-largest emerging market in green finance (Lone et al., 2024).

Retail investor participation is growing, as evidenced by the 2023 Sovereign Green Bond allotting 5% to retail buyers. However, institutional investors still dominate.

India's regulatory landscape is developing rapidly. SEBI guidelines, RBI's inclusion of green bonds in bank investments, and the National Action Plan on Climate Change all contribute to market maturity (Sreenu, 2024).

Still, political and financial barriers remain. Low cooperation between political parties, stubble burning, and lack of green banks pose challenges (Hassan et al., 2024; Shipalana, 2020).

11. Research Gaps

Several gaps persist. First, the absence of a universally accepted green bond definition leads to inconsistent taxonomies and verification standards. Research into global standardisation mechanisms is needed.

Second, the effectiveness of third-party audits in curbing greenwashing is underexplored. There is also little insight into why investors accept greenium in some markets but not others. Third, the challenges faced by smaller issuers require more attention, particularly in relation to subsidies and regional cooperation frameworks like BRICS or ASEAN.

In India, future studies could examine the scalability of global best practices, the role of public-private partnerships, and the efficacy of SEBI guidelines. The impact of emerging instruments like blue and social bonds should also be considered.

Technological tools such as blockchain and AI could improve transparency and environmental monitoring. Longitudinal studies on emission reduction and biodiversity gains would be especially useful.

Finally, public outreach strategies to boost retail participation and comprehensive databases tracking project outcomes would strengthen the market.

12. Conclusion

Green bonds have emerged as vital instruments in the global shift towards sustainable finance. They are helping countries meet climate goals by funding renewable energy, conservation, and pollution control. Financially, they are competitive and attractive to ESG-conscious investors. Environmentally, they are effective in reducing emissions and promoting clean energy.

However, it is important to note that the market still faces challenges: greenwashing, inconsistent standards, limited issuer diversity, and high compliance costs. A coordinated approach involving stronger regulation, broader participation, and technological integration is essential.

Future research must focus on standardisation, small issuer inclusion, the effectiveness of verification, and expanding the scope of green finance. Only then can the full potential of green bonds be realised in driving climate action and sustainable development.

Table 2

Authors	Methodology	Key Insights
Giuseppe Cortellini & Panetta (2021); Ahmad, Yaqub & Lee (2024); Fraser, Quail & Simkins (2022)	PRISMA systematic reviews and qualitative syntheses of ESG and green bond trends.	Identified literature gaps, drivers of success in green finance, and global ESG adoption patterns.
Jian (2023); Tukhtabayev et al. (2024); Myronchuk et al. (2024); Shi et al. (2023); Zhu et al. (2024)	Econometric models, Monte Carlo simulations, and signalling game theory applied to green bonds.	Explored certification frameworks, stakeholder perceptions, and greenwashing prevention mechanisms.
Yan et al. (2025); Ringstad & Tselika (2024); Bouteska et al. (2025); Mishra et al. (2023); Weber & Saravade (2019)	Regression models, GARCH, connectedness frameworks, and time-series analysis for pricing behaviour.	Highlighted liquidity differences, volatility spillovers, and interlinkages between bond markets and green finance.
Lau et al. (2025); Tsipas et al. (2024); Baştürk (2024); Baldi & Pandimiglio (2022); Tuhkanen (2020)	ANN, FMOLS, GMM, and policy frameworks to study carbon emissions and renewable energy investments.	Revealed substantial environmental benefits, including emissions reductions and clean energy growth.
Baldi & Pandimiglio (2022); Zhu et al. (2024); Weber & Saravade (2019); Zhu et al. (2024); Azad et al. (2024)	ESG scoring, greenwashing analysis through certifications, and signalling game models.	Addressed transparency issues, risks of greenwashing, and certification frameworks to rebuild market trust.
Tuhkanen (2020); Maino (2022); Weber & Saravade (2019); Mishra et al. (2023); Ul Hassan et al. (2024)	Policy analyses, case studies, and econometric assessments of governance and green bond adoption.	Evaluated governance quality, transparency measures, and the role of regulators in emerging markets.
Sreenu (2024); Azad et al. (2024); Ul Hassan et al. (2024)	Granger causality, FMOLS, and behavioural models applied to India's green finance context.	Demonstrated dynamic interplays, governance effects, and retail investor behaviour in green bonds.
Weber & Saravade (2019); Zhu et al. (2024); Mishra et al. (2023)	Market reviews, SPO analysis, and adoption trends in GSSS bonds globally.	Highlighted the role of certification, external reviews, and transparency in global green bond market adoption.

References

1. Abhilash, S., Shenoy, S. S., & Shetty, D. K. (2022). A state-of-the-art overview of green bond markets: Evidence from technology empowered systematic literature review. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 10(1), 2135834. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/taf/oaefxx/v10y2022i1p2135834.html>.
2. Adebayo, T. S., & Kartal, M. T. (2024). Effect of green bonds, oil prices, and COVID-19 on industrial CO2 emissions in the USA: Evidence from novel wavelet local multiple correlation approach. *Energy & Environment*, <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0958305X231167463>.

3. Ahmad, H., Yaqub, M., & Lee, S. H. (2023). Environmental-, social-, and governance-related factors for business investment and sustainability: A scientometric review of global trends. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26, 2965–2987. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10668-023-02921-x>.
4. Alsmadi, A. A., Al-Okaily, M., Alrawashdeh, N., Al-Gasaymeh, A., Al-hazimeh, A. M., & Zakari, A. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of green bonds and sustainable green energy: Evidence from the last fifteen years (2007–2022). *Sustainability*, 15(7), 5778. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/7/5778>.
5. Asl, M. G., Smutka, L., Isfahani, M. N., Raza, S. A., & Vasa, L. (2024). Can multifarious types of green bonds be accused of greenwashing with a durative analysis? Insights from a permanent causality vs. temporary causality phenomenon. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378038002_Can_multifarious_types_of_green_bonds_be_accused_of_greenwashing_with_a_durative_analysis_Insights_from_a_permanent_causality_vs_temporary_causality_phenomenon.
6. Azad, S., Tulasi Devi, S. L., & Mishra, A. K. (2024). Investing in our planet: Examining retail investors' preference for green bond investment. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.3743>.
7. Baker, M., Bergstresser, D., Serafeim, G., & Wurgler, J. (2018). Financing the Response to Climate Change: The Pricing and Ownership of U.S. Green Bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 147(3), 503–522. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3275327.
8. Baldi, F., & Pandimiglio, A. (2022). The role of ESG scoring and greenwashing risk in explaining the yields of green bonds: A conceptual framework and an econometric analysis. *Global Finance Journal*, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1044028322000138>.
9. Baştürk, M. F. (2024). Does green finance reduce carbon emissions? Global evidence based on system generalised method of moments. *Sustainability*, <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/16/18/8210>.
10. Berrone, P., Fosfuri, A., & Gelabert, L. (2017). Does greenwashing pay off? Understanding the relationship between environmental actions and environmental legitimacy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-015-2816-9>.
11. Bhutta, U. S., Tariq, A., Farrukh, M., Raza, A., & Iqbal, M. K. (2022). Green bonds for sustainable development: Review of literature on development and impact of green bonds. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121378. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S004016252100809X>.
12. Bouteska, A., Bhuiyan, F., Sharif, T., Miftah, B., & Abedin, M. Z. (2025). Impact of green bonds on traditional equity markets. *Research in International Business and Finance*, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0275531924003994>.
13. Chen, R., Wang, G., Jamil, N., & Iqbal, N. (2025). The green premium of unconventional monetary policy: Evidence from the enlarged collateral framework by the People's Bank of China. *Research in International Business and Finance*, <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/riibaf/v73y2025ipbs0275531924004483.html/>.

14. Cheong, C., & Choi, J. (2020). Green bonds: A survey. *Journal of Derivatives and Quantitative Studies*, <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/jdqs-09-2020-0024/full/html>.
15. Chesini, G. (2024). Can sovereign green bonds accelerate the transition to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions? *International Advances in Economic Research*, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11294-024-09900-6>.
16. Climate Bonds Initiative. (2021). Climate Bonds Standard. <https://www.climatebonds.net/standard>
17. Cortellini, G., & Panetta, I. C. (2021). Green bond: A systematic literature review for future research agendas. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(12), 589. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14120589>
18. Depledge, J. (2022). The “top-down” Kyoto Protocol? Exploring caricature and misrepresentation in literature on global climate change governance. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 22, 673–692. https://ideas.repec.org/a/spr/ieaple/v22y2022i4d10.1007_s10784-022-09580-9.html
19. Doran, M., & Tanner, J. (2019). Green bonds: An overview. Baker McKenzie LLP. <https://www.bakermckenzie.com/en/-/media/files/insight/publications/2019/05/green-bonds--an-overview--may-2019.pdf>
20. Hassan, S. U., Basumatary, J., & Goyari, P. (2024). Impact of governance and effectiveness of expenditure on CO₂ emission (air pollution): Lessons from four BRIC countries. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381732293_Impact_of_governance_and_effectiveness_of_expenditure_on_CO_2_emission_air_pollution_lessons_from_four_BRIC_countries.
21. Effects of climate change are increasing in Asia, WMO says | NewsRiteNow. <https://newsritenow.com/news/asia/effects-of-climate-change-increasing-in-asia-wmo-says/>.
22. Eichner, T., & Schopf, M. (2024). On breadth and depth of climate agreements with pledge-and-review bargaining. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 125, 102952. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2024.102952>.
23. Financial Times. (2024, June 10). Green bond issuance surges as investors hunt for yield. <https://www.ft.com/content/ee5186f4-1ecb-4f5a-93d2-e4f7ab57a35d>.
24. Ghaemi Asl, M., Smutka, L., Isfahani, M. N., Raza, S. A., & Vasa, L. (2024). Can multifarious types of green bonds be accused of greenwashing with a durative analysis? Insights from a permanent causality vs. temporary causality phenomenon. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378038002_Can_multifarious_types_of_green_bonds_be_accused_of_greenwashing_with_a_durative_analysis_Insights_from_a_permanent_causality_vs_temporary_causality_phenomenon.
25. Gianfrate, G., & Peri, M. (2021). The Green Advantage: Exploring the Nexus Between Green Bonds and Cost of Capital. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 67, 101853. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3329823.
26. Gilchrist, D., Yu, J., & Zhong, R. (2021). The limits of green finance: A literature survey in the context of green bonds and loans. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 478.

- <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/2/478>.
27. Global Sustainable Investment Alliance. (2020). Global Sustainable Investment Review 2020. <https://www.gsi-alliance.org/trends-report-2020/>.
 28. Goldman Sachs Asset Management. (2023). Green bond market guide: Financing the climate transition. Goldman Sachs Asset Management. <https://am.gs.com/en-ch/advisors/insights/article/2024/green-bond-market-guide>.
 29. Grishunin, S., Burova, E., Suloeva, S., Pishchalkin, D., Isroilov, B., & Doliev, S. (2024). Greenium and its determinants at various phases of the life cycle of the European green bond market. E3S Web of Conferences, https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/abs/2024/104/e3sconf_congreentax2024_03005/e3sconf_congreentax2024_03005.html.
 30. Hachenberg, B., & Schiereck, D. (2018). Are Green Bonds Priced Differently from Conventional Bonds? *Journal of Asset Management*, 19(6), 371–383, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/s41260-018-0088-5>.
 31. International Capital Market Association (ICMA). (2021). Green Bond Principles: Voluntary process guidelines for issuing green bonds. <https://www.icmagroup.org/assets/documents/sustainable-finance/2021-updates/green-bond-principles-june-2021-100621.pdf>
 32. International Energy Agency. (2023). CO₂ emissions in 2022. <https://www.iea.org/reports/co2-emissions-in-2022>.
 33. Jian, Y. (2023). Green bonds and green environment: Exploring innovative financing mechanisms for environmental project sustainability. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-023-30580-y>.
 34. Khiari, W., Ben Flah, I., Lajmi, A., & Bouhleli, F. (2024). The stock market reaction to green bond issuance: A study based on a multidimensional scaling approach. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, <https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/17/9/408>.
 35. Lau, C. K., Padhan, H., Das, A. K., Tiwari, A. K., Gozgor, G., & Jain, P. (2024). The role of green bonds on industrial sustainability for achieving carbon neutrality: Evidence from the artificial neural network method. *Journal of Environmental Management*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386080792_The_Role_of_Green_Bonds_on_Industrial_Sustainability_for_Achieving_Carbon_Neutrality_Evidence_from_the_Artificial_Neural_Network_Method.
 36. Laufer, W. S. (2003). Social accountability and corporate greenwashing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25074996>.
 37. Liu, Y., Huang, H., Mbanyele, W., Wang, F., & Liu, H. (2024). Does the issuance of green bonds nudge environmental responsibility engagements? Evidence from the Chinese green bond market. *Financial Innovation*, 10(1), 92. <https://jfin-swufe.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40854-024-00620-8>.
 38. Lone, F. A., Aggarwal, S., & Jain, S. (2024). Retail investors' perception towards green bonds in advancing sustainability: Evidence from India. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385893857_Retail_Investors'_Perception_Towards_Green_Bonds_in_Advancing_Sustainability_Evidence_from_India.
 39. MacAskill, S., Roca, E., Liu, B., Stewart, R. A., & Sahin, O. (2021). Is there a green premium in the green bond market? Systematic literature review revealing premium

- determinants. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124491. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652620345352>.
40. Maino, A. G. (2022). Financing the energy transition: The role, opportunities and challenges of green bonds. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies. <https://www.oxfordenergy.org/publications/financing-the-energy-transition-the-role-opportunities-and-challenges-of-green-bonds/>.
 41. Mertzanis, C., Marashdeh, H., Kampouris, I., & Atayah, O. (2025). Managing environmental finance in the digital era: Evidence from green bonds. *Journal of Environmental Management*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386420579_Managing_environmental_finance_in_the_digital_era_Evidence_from_green_bonds.
 42. Meng, J., Ye, Z., & Wang, Y. (2024). Financing and investing in sustainable infrastructure: A review and research agenda. *Sustainable Futures*, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666188824001618>.
 43. Mishra, S. P., Kumar, R., & Rout, J. (2023). An overview of green, social, sustainability, and sustainability-linked (GSSS) bonds. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, https://ideas.repec.org/a/spr/jsecdv/v25y2023i1d10.1007_s40847-023-00275-8.html.
 44. Moliterni, F. (2018). Sustainable investing and green finance: Boosting markets by solving ambiguities. *Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3161321.
 45. Mutarindwa, S., Schäfer, D., & Stephan, A. (2024). Certification against greenwashing in nascent bond markets: Lessons from African ESG bonds. *Eurasian Economic Review*, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40822-023-00257-5>.
 46. Myronchuk, V., Yatsenko, O., Riznyk, D., Hurina, O., & Frolov, A. (2024). Financing sustainable development: Analysis of modern approaches and practices in the context of financial and credit activities. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, <https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijefi/article/view/16619>.
 47. Nguyen, N. M., Luu, N. H., Hoang, A., & Nguyen, M. T. N. (2023). Environmental impacts of green bonds in cross-country analysis: a moderating effect of institutional quality. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 15(5), 684–704. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eme/jfeppp/jfep-01-2023-0020.html>.
 48. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *Mobilising Bond Markets for a Low-Carbon Transition*. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/mobilising-bond-markets-for-a-low-carbon-transition_9789264272323-en.html.
 49. Our World in Data. (2021). Data review: How many people die from air pollution? <https://ourworldindata.org/data-review-air-pollution-deaths>.
 50. Pyka, M. (2023). The EU Green Bond Standard: A plausible response to the deficiencies of the EU green bond market? *European Business Organization Law Review*, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40804-023-00278-2>.
 51. Ringstad, I. E. F., & Tselika, K. (2024). Connectedness between green bonds, clean energy markets and carbon quota prices: Time and frequency dynamics. *Journal of Commodity Markets*, <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/jocoma/v36y2024ics2405851324000618.html>.

52. Sheenan, L., Schweers, K., & Klein, T. (2024). Interactions between sustainable bonds, renewable energy and other financial markets: A macroprudential perspective. *Energy Economics*, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140988324005474>.
53. Shipalana, P. (2020). Green finance mechanisms in developing countries: Emerging practice. South African Institute of International Affairs. <https://cer.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Shipalana-Policy-Briefing-.pdf>
54. Shi, X., Ma, J., Jiang, A., Wei, S., & Yue, L. (2023). Green bonds: Green investments or greenwashing? *International Review of Financial Analysis*, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4418648.
55. Simkins, B. J. (2022). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) and the roles of financial professionals. S&P Global Market Intelligence Research Paper Series. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4141378.
56. Sreenu, N. (2024). The impact of Fintech and green bonds on the Indian renewable energy production. *Renewable Energy*, 237, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385668653_The_Impact_of_Fintech_and_Green_Bonds_on_The_Indian_Renewable_Energy_Production.
57. Taghizadeh-Hesary, F., Yoshino, N., & Phoumin, H. (2021). Analyzing the characteristics of green bond markets to facilitate green finance in the post-COVID-19 world. *Sustainability*, 13(10), 5719. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/10/5719>.
58. Tsiapas, F., Elrashidy, Z., & Sandretto, D. (2024). Green bonds efficiency and renewable energy: Insights from the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Environmental Management*, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385519341_Green_bonds_efficiency_and_renewable_energy_insights_from_the_Covid-19_pandemic.
59. Tuhkanen, H. (2020). Green bonds: A mechanism for bridging the adaptation gap? Stockholm Environment Institute. <https://www.sei.org/publications/green-bonds-a-mechanism-for-bridging-the-adaptation-gap/>.
60. Tukhtabayev, A., Dadajonova, M., Sativaldiyeva, G., Kholiyorov, U., & Hamidova, S. (2024). Harnessing green bonds for sustainable development: An empirical impact and insight. *E3S Web of Conferences*, https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/abs/2024/104/e3sconf_congreentax2024_03006/e3sconf_congreentax2024_03006.html.
61. Wang, Q., Chen, M., & Liu, T. (2024). Research on green innovation spillover effects of peers issuing green bonds: Evidence from China's green bond market. *SAGE Open*, October–December, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385376421_Research_on_Green_Innovation_Spillover_Effects_of_Peers_Issuing_Green_Bonds_Evidence_from_China's_Green_Bond_Market.
62. Weber, O., & Saravade, V. (2019). Analysing the environmental performance of green bonds. In *Green Bonds: Current development and their future*. Centre for International Governance Innovation. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342048721_Green_Bonds_Current_Development_and_Their_Future.
63. Weber, O., & Saravade, V. (2019). Policy recommendations. In *Green bonds: Current development and their future*. Centre for International Governance Innovation.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342048721_Green_Bonds_Current_Development_and_Their_Future.

64. Weber, O., & Saravade, V. (2019). Regulating green bonds. In Green bonds: Current development and their future. Centre for International Governance Innovation. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342048721_Green_Bonds_Current_Development_and_Their_Future.
65. Weber, O., & Saravade, V. (2019). Types of green bonds. In Green bonds: Current development and their future. Centre for International Governance Innovation. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342048721_Green_Bonds_Current_Development_and_Their_Future.
66. World Health Organization. (2014). 7 million premature deaths annually are linked to air pollution. <https://www.who.int/news/item/25-03-2014-7-million-premature-deaths-annually-linked-to-air-pollution>.
67. Xia, Z., Sun, A., Cai, X., & Zeng, S. (2023). Modeling the evolutionary trends in corporate ESG reporting: A study based on knowledge management model. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.07001>
68. Yan, M., Li, X., Zhao, X., & He, Z. (2025). Liquidity and cost advantage of green bonds. Finance Research Letters, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1544612324014624?dgcid=rss_sd_all.
69. Yu, Y. (2018). Catalysing green bonds in ASEAN+3 countries to mobilise finance for energy market transformation. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep21796>.
70. Zhang, J., Yang, G., Ding, X., & Qin, J. (2024). Can green bonds empower enterprises to innovate in green technology? Environmental Science and Pollution Research, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36166125/>.
71. Zhu, Q., Zhao, X., & Wu, M. (2024). Third-party certification: How can greenwash in the green bond market be effectively prevented? – Analysis based on signalling game. Environment, Development and Sustainability, https://ideas.repec.org/a/spr/endesu/v26y2024i6d10.1007_s10668-023-03292-z.html.