**Research Article**

# THE CULTURAL POLITICS OF HEALTH: STIGMA AND SILENCE AROUND MENTAL ILLNESS IN SEMI-URBAN INDIA

**Chimma Venkataramudu [1], Dr.N.Perumal [2]**

[1]Assistant Professor, School of Politics and Public administration, Tamil Nadu Open University, Chennai

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Centre for Distance and Online Education, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai

**Corresponding Author: Chimma Venkataramudu**

**Abstract**

This study examines the complex cultural politics governing mental illness in semi-urban India, where rapid social transformation intersects with deep-seated traditional beliefs. It argues that stigma and enforced silence are not mere byproducts of ignorance but are actively produced and maintained by a confluence of socio-cultural, familial, and institutional forces. Utilizing a critical review of sociological and public health literature, this paper analyzes how mental illness is often culturally coded as a moral failing, spiritual affliction, or genetic taint, leading to profound social disqualification. The analysis delves into the roles of the family as a site of both care and control, the limitations of a biomedically-centric healthcare system, and the impact of media representations. The findings reveal that the "silence" surrounding mental illness is a strategic, socially enforced practice that protects collective family honor (izzat) and marriageability, often at the cost of the individual's well-being. The paper concludes that effective public health interventions must move beyond awareness campaigns to engage directly with these cultural logics, advocating for community-based, culturally grounded approaches that dismantle stigma by reshaping the very narratives and social structures that sustain it.

**Keywords:** Mental Health, Stigma, India, Cultural Politics, Semi-Urban, Silence, Izzat, Goffman, Public Health.

## 1. Introduction:

The 21st century has witnessed a growing global recognition of mental health as a critical component of public health. In India, this has been marked by policy initiatives like the Mental Healthcare Act (2017), which espouses a rights-based approach, and campaigns seeking to raise public awareness (Mental Healthcare Act, 2017). However, a profound chasm exists between policy rhetoric and the lived realities of a significant portion of the population, particularly outside major metropolitan centers. In the bustling, transitional landscapes of semi-urban India—the expanding towns and district headquarters bridging urban and rural worlds—mental illness remains shrouded in a complex web of stigma, shame, and silence.

This study investigates the cultural politics of mental health in these specific contexts. We posit that stigma is not a static or monolithic entity but a dynamic social process, deeply embedded in the local cultural fabric. It is a form of "power that operates through social and cultural practices", governing what can be said about mental distress, who can speak, and what forms of help-seeking are deemed legitimate. The silence observed around mental illness is, therefore, not an absence of discourse but a

potent, active practice of suppression and management of a perceived threat to social order.

Semi-urban India presents a critical site for this inquiry. These are spaces of intense social flux, where aspirations for modern life collide with the enduring authority of traditional kinship structures, caste hierarchies, and community surveillance. Here, the fear of social disqualification—impacting marriage prospects, community standing, and economic opportunities—is particularly acute. The family, the primary unit of social identity, often becomes the central locus for managing this threat, frequently by concealing the condition and seeking solutions from non-psychiatric domains such as faith healers or traditional practitioners, thereby reinforcing the very stigma that public health aims to eradicate.

This paper aims to deconstruct the mechanisms through which stigma and silence are produced and sustained. Through a synthesis of existing sociological, anthropological, and public health research, it will pursue the following objectives:

1) To analyze the dominant cultural constructions and interpretations of mental illness within the socio-cultural frameworks of semi-urban India.
2) To examine the specific roles of the family, community, and institutional structures in perpetuating stigma and enforcing silence.
3) To investigate how these cultural politics shape pathways to care and the lived experience of individuals with mental illness.
4) To propose a framework for effective, culturally grounded mental health interventions that address these structural and cultural barriers.

By addressing these objectives, this paper contributes to a more nuanced understanding of mental health stigma, moving beyond individual-level attributions to illuminate the broader cultural and political economies of distress and response.

## 2. Theoretical Framework: Conceptualizing Stigma and Cultural Narratives

To analyze the phenomena of stigma and silence, this paper employs a multi-dimensional theoretical framework, drawing primarily on sociological theories of stigma and the concept of cultural health capital.

❖ **Goffman's Stigma and its Social Relational Dynamics:**

Erving Goffman's seminal work, "Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity" (1963), provides a foundational lens. Goffman defined stigma as an attribute that is deeply discrediting, reducing the bearer "from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one". He distinguished between "discrediting" stigmas (already visible) and "discreditable" ones (not immediately perceivable, like many mental illnesses). In the context of semi-urban India, mental illness is predominantly a discreditable stigma, prompting what Goffman termed "passing"—concealing the condition to manage social interactions. The immense effort invested in maintaining this concealment is a direct contributor to the culture of silence.

However, Goffman's individual-centric model requires expansion to account for collective cultural dynamics. Link and Phelan developed a conceptualization of stigma as a co-occurrence of several components: labeling, stereotyping, separation, status loss, and discrimination, all occurring within a power differential. This framework is particularly apt for the Indian context, where power is exercised along axes of caste, class, gender, and community authority. The label of "pagal" (mad) or "mental" is powerfully applied, triggering stereotypes of unpredictability, violence, and incompetence, leading to social separation (e.g., exclusion from gatherings), status loss (diminished marriageability), and discrimination (in employment, healthcare).

❖ **Cultural Health Capital and the Politics of Legitimacy:**

Complementing this, the concept of "Cultural Health Capital" (CHC) developed by Shim (2010) is invaluable. CHC refers to the repertoire of cultural skills, attitudes, behaviors, and interactional styles that individuals draw upon to navigate healthcare encounters effectively. Patients with high CHC are more likely to have their symptoms legitimized and receive better care. In the case of mental illness in semi-urban India, patients and families often lack the requisite CHC to frame their distress in the biomedical language of psychiatry. Their narratives of spirit possession, somatic complaints, or

character flaws may be dismissed by medical professionals as superstition, creating a rupture in the clinical encounter and driving them back to informal sectors where their explanatory models are validated.

This theoretical synthesis allows us to see stigma not just as a negative attitude but as a structural phenomenon. It is a process mediated by power, where certain forms of knowledge (biomedical) are privileged over others (cultural, spiritual), and where the failure to possess the "correct" cultural capital leads to further marginalization and a reinforcement of the silence, as failed encounters with formal healthcare reinforce the belief that the condition is too shameful or untreatable to be disclosed.

## 3. Cultural Constructions of Mental Illness: Beyond the Biomedical Model

In semi-urban India, the experience and interpretation of psychological distress are filtered through cultural lenses that often diverge radically from the Western biomedical model. Understanding these local idioms of distress is crucial to comprehending the nature of the stigma attached to them.

❖ **Spiritual and Moral Etiologies:**

A prevalent cultural construction attributes mental illness to supernatural causes or moral transgression. Distress may be interpreted as upri hava (evil wind), saya (shadow of a spirit), or the consequence of graha dosha (planetary afflictions). Such interpretations locate the problem not within the individual's neurochemistry but in the realm of the metaphysical or moral order. This framing, while potentially less stigmatizing in its initial attribution (as it can happen to anyone), often leads to seeking help from religious figures, temple healers, or ojhas (faith healers) rather than psychiatrists. The stigma, in this case, is not necessarily attached to the "illness" itself but to the perceived spiritual weakness or moral failing of the individual or their family, which invited the affliction.

❖ **Somatic Presentations and the Body as a Medium:**

Mental distress frequently manifests and is communicated through somatic idioms. Symptoms of depression and anxiety are commonly expressed as "pain in the heart", bodily aches, weakness, or "gas troubles". This somatization is a culturally sanctioned channel for expressing psychological pain in contexts where direct emotional expression is discouraged. However, this creates a double bind. While it allows the individual to seek help for a socially acceptable physical ailment, it also prevents the recognition of the underlying mental health issue. The problem remains unnamed and unaddressed in psychological terms, perpetuating the silence around mental health per se. The stigma of a "mental" problem is avoided, but at the cost of receiving potentially inappropriate or ineffective treatment for physical symptoms.

❖ **The Stigma of Heredity and "Blood"**

One of the most potent drivers of stigma and silence is the widespread belief that mental illness is hereditary and signifies a "flaw" in the family bloodline (khandaan). This belief has devastating social consequences, particularly for women, as it directly impacts the marriageability of not only the individual but also their siblings and even extended kin. A family with a known history of mental illness is considered a risky alliance, potentially passing on "bad genes" and incurring significant caregiving costs. This fear transforms the individual's condition into a collective family secret. The silence becomes a strategic tool for protecting the social and economic capital of the entire kinship group. The management of this discreditable information becomes a primary family project, often involving explicit instructions to never discuss the issue outside the home.

These cultural constructions demonstrate that the "problem" of mental illness is not confined to the individual psyche but is deeply entangled with notions of personhood, family honor, and cosmic justice. This makes a purely biomedical intervention insufficient, as it fails to engage with the meanings and social repercussions that give the stigma its power.

## 4. The Architecture of Silence: Family, Community, and Institutions

The silence surrounding mental illness is actively engineered and enforced through a network of social institutions. In semi-urban India, where community ties are strong and privacy is limited, these forces operate with intensity.

❖ **The Family: The Locus of Care and Control**

The family is the primary social unit in India, and its role in mental health is deeply ambivalent. On one hand, it is a crucial source of emotional and practical support. On the other, it is often the primary agent of stigma and the chief enforcer of silence, motivated by the imperative to protect **izzat** (family honor). The disclosure of a mental illness is seen as a stain on the family's reputation, threatening the marriage prospects of all its members. Consequently, families may engage in various practices of information management: hiding diagnoses from relatives and neighbors, avoiding social gatherings where the individual's behavior might be scrutinized, and delaying seeking professional help indefinitely to avoid the official label.

This "conspiracy of silence" within the family can be profoundly isolating for the individual experiencing distress. Their reality is denied, their experiences invalidated, and their social world shrunk. The family's decision-making authority often overrides individual autonomy, with choices about treatment (whether from a doctor or a faith healer) made collectively, typically by senior male members, further disempowering the individual.

❖ **The Gendered Burden of Stigma:**

The experience of stigma is profoundly gendered. Patriarchal norms dictate that women's mental health is more closely tied to perceptions of their virtue, reliability, and reproductive fitness. A woman with a mental illness is frequently viewed as incapable of fulfilling her roles as a wife, mother, and daughter-in-law. She faces a higher risk of abandonment, divorce, and violence. For men, mental illness challenges norms of masculinity centered on rationality, economic productivity, and strength. Male distress may be interpreted as a failure of character or weakness, leading to a different but equally potent form of shame and concealment.

❖ **Community Surveillance and Social Exclusion:**

In semi-urban settings, communities function as powerful panopticons, with constant, informal surveillance. Gossip (log kya kahenge – "what will people say") is a potent mechanism of social control. The fear of becoming the subject of gossip compels families to maintain a facade of normalcy. When a mental illness becomes known, the consequences can be stark: exclusion from social and religious ceremonies, the breaking of marriage proposals, and strained relations with neighbors (Jadhav & Barua, 2012). This collective ostracism serves as a warning to others, reinforcing the norm that mental illness must be hidden at all costs.

❖ **Institutional Reinforcements: Healthcare and Media:**

Formal institutions often inadvertently reinforce stigma. The mental healthcare infrastructure in semi-urban India is severely under-resourced, characterized by a lack of psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, and rehabilitation services. What often exists are overcrowded government hospitals or unregulated private practices where consultations are brief, communication is paternalistic, and the primary treatment modality is medication with little psychoeducation. Such encounters can be alienating and stigmatizing in themselves, failing to build the trust required to break the silence.

Furthermore, media representations have historically been problematic. Indian cinema and television have long sensationalized mental illness, depicting individuals with psychological disorders as violent, comical, or possessed. While recent years have seen more sensitive portrayals, the legacy of these stereotypes persists in the popular imagination, cementing fears and misconceptions.

**5. Pathways to Care and the Failure of the Biomedical Encounter**

The journey to seek help for mental distress, known as the "pathway to care", is a telling indicator of the cultural politics at play. In semi-urban India, this pathway is rarely linear or exclusively biomedical. A typical pathway often begins within the informal sector. Families first consult elders, traditional healers, or religious figures. This is a logical first step, as these sources operate within the same cultural explanatory model that initially defines the problem. Only when these interventions fail, and the individual's behavior becomes unmanageable or poses a risk, is the formal medical sector considered. This delay can last for years, during which the condition may worsen significantly.

The encounter with biomedical psychiatry is often a crisis point. As per the Cultural Health Capital framework, the family's narrative of spirit possession or somatic pain may clash with the

doctor's biomedical model. The psychiatrist, pressed for time and lacking training in cultural competence, may dismiss these beliefs as backward, creating a communicative chasm. The prescription of medication without adequate explanation can feel like a mechanical, dehumanizing solution that fails to address the perceived root cause. This experience of invalidation and lack of rapport often leads to poor adherence to medication and early dropout from treatment. The family may conclude that "doctors don't understand", and revert to the informal sector, their belief in the untreatable, shameful nature of the condition now reinforced by a failed encounter with modern science.

This "revolving door" phenomenon highlights the limitations of a top-down, purely biological approach to mental healthcare. It demonstrates that simply making services available is ineffective if the cultural and communicative barriers that uphold silence and stigma are not addressed at the point of care.

## 6. Towards a Culturally Grounded Praxis: Recommendations and Conclusions

Deconstructing the deep-rooted cultural politics of mental health stigma in semi-urban India requires a multi-pronged, culturally-grounded strategy that moves beyond awareness-raising to actively reshape social structures and narratives.

- **Reimagining Mental Health Communication:** Public communication must shift from generic slogans to context-specific narratives that resonate with local worldviews. Instead of contradicting beliefs in spiritual causation outright, campaigns can use a "both/and" approach, suggesting that while faith can provide solace, a doctor can help manage the distressing symptoms. Leveraging trusted community figures—such as respected local teachers, retired officials, or progressive religious leaders—as mental health ambassadors can be more effective than relying solely on external experts.
- **Strengthening Community-Based Care and Task-Sharing:** A radical decentralization of care is needed. The World Health Organization's (2008) model of task-sharing, where non-specialist community health workers are trained to provide basic psychosocial support, is particularly suited for semi-urban and rural India. Programs like the Atmiyata project in Gujarat have shown success by training community volunteers to identify distress, provide counseling, and reduce stigma. Integrating mental health into primary healthcare (as mandated by the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017) is crucial, but it must be accompanied by intensive training for general practitioners in patient-centered, culturally-competent communication.
- **Engaging with Families and Fostering Agency:** Interventions must work with families, not against them. Family group psychoeducation sessions can be transformative, providing a safe space to break the internal silence, learn about the illness as a medical condition, and develop coping strategies as a unit. Such interventions can reframe the family's role from enforcers of silence to allies in recovery, while still acknowledging their legitimate concerns about social repercussions.
- **Leveraging Digital Platforms and Arts-Based Methods:** In rapidly digitizing semi-urban India, technology offers new avenues to bypass traditional gatekeepers. Anonymous helplines, telehealth consultations, and moderated online support groups can provide safe spaces for individuals to seek information and share experiences without fear of social exposure. Similarly, arts-based methods (theatre, storytelling, community murals) can facilitate dialogue about mental health in indirect, non-threatening ways, allowing communities to explore difficult topics through metaphor and narrative.

## 7. Conclusion:

The silence around mental illness in semi-urban India is a robust social artifact, meticulously crafted and maintained by a powerful cultural politics that links individual distress to collective honor, spiritual order, and economic survival. It is a silence that speaks volumes about the social contract, the politics of knowledge, and the hierarchies of what constitutes a legitimate ailment. As this paper has argued, stigma is not a lack of knowledge to be filled by science, but an active social process of exclusion and management.

Confronting this reality requires humility and a willingness to engage with the messy, complex world of cultural meanings. Effective public health action must therefore be a form of cultural engagement—collaborating with communities to co-create new narratives of mental illness that integrate, rather than dismiss, their lived realities. It involves strengthening local resources, building bridges between healing traditions, and fundamentally, restoring voice and agency to those who have been silenced. The goal is not merely to treat mental illness but to transform the social conditions that make it a source of profound shame and isolation, thereby forging a path toward a more genuinely inclusive and mentally healthy society.

**References:**

1. Bhugra, D. (2005). The global prevalence of schizophrenia. *PLoS Medicine*, *2*(5), e151. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020151
2. Chowdhary N, Jotheeswaran AT, Nadkarni A, Hollon SD, King M, Jordans MJ, Rahman A, Verdeli H, Araya R, Patel V. The methods and outcomes of cultural adaptations of psychological treatments for depressive disorders: a systematic review. Psychol Med. 2014 Apr;44(6):1131-46. doi: 10.1017/S0033291713001785. Epub 2013 Jul 19. PMID: 23866176; PMCID: PMC3943384.
3. *Legal Frameworks for and against People with Psychosocial Disabilities*. (2012, December 21). Economic and Political Weekly. https://share.google/AGelUTQX2to0bgAys
4. Goffman, E.rving. Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity. Prentice-Hall.
5. Gururaj, G., Varghese, M., Benegal, V., Rao, G. N., Pathak, K., Singh, L. K., & NMHS Collaborators Group. (2016). National Mental Health Survey of India, 2015-16: Prevalence, patterns and outcomes. NIMHANS Publication No. 129. National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences.
6. Jadhav, S., & Barua, M. (2012). The Elephant Vanishes: Impact of human–elephant conflict on people's wellbeing. *Health & Place*, *18*(6), 1356–1365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.health place.2012.06.019
7. Kapoor, A., & Shastri, M. (2023). India's Mental Healthcare Act, 2017: A promise for transformation and radical change. In *Routledge Handbook of Mental Health Law* (pp. 540-555). Routledge.
8. Kohn, R., Saxena, S., Levav, I., & Saraceno, B. (2004). The treatment gap in mental health care. *Bulletin of the World health Organization*, *82*(11), 858-866.
9. Link, B. G., & Phelan, J. C. (2001). Conceptualizing stigma. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *27*(1), 363–385. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.27.1.363
10. Mental Healthcare Act. (2017). The Mental Healthcare Act, 2017. No. 10 of 2017. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.
11. Parker, R., & Aggleton, P. (2003). HIV and AIDS-related stigma and discrimination: a conceptual framework and implications for action. *Social Science & Medicine*, *57*(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(02)00304-0
12. Patel, V. (2001). Cultural factors and international epidemiology. *British Medical Bulletin*, *57*(1), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/57.1.33
13. Pathare, S., Brazinova, A., & Levav, I. (2018). Care gap: a comprehensive measure to quantify unmet needs in mental health. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *27*(5), 463–467. https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796018000100
14. Raguram, R., Venkateswaran, A., Ramakrishna, J., & Weiss, M. G. (2002). Traditional community resources for mental health: a report of temple healing from India. *BMJ*, *325*(7354), 38–40. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7354.38
15. Shim, J. K. (2010). Cultural health capital. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, *51*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146509361185
16. Thara, R., & Srinivasan, T. (2000). How stigmatising is schizophrenia in India? *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, *46*(2), 135–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/002076400004600206

17. World Health Organization. (2008). mhGAP: Mental Health Gap Action Programme: Scaling up care for mental, neurological, and substance use disorders. IRIS. https://iris.who.int/server/api/core/bitstreams/f7ca6984-5c5c-462c-a77b-169313ae3fa1/contents